## **5 Asymptotic Notation**

We are usually not interested in exact running times, but only in an asymptotic classification of the running time, that ignores constant factors and constant additive offsets.

- ▶ We are usually interested in the running times for large values of n. Then constant additive terms do not play an important role.
- ▶ An exact analysis (e.g. *exactly* counting the number of operations in a RAM) may be hard, but wouldn't lead to more precise results as the computational model is already quite a distance from reality.
- ▶ A linear speed-up (i.e., by a constant factor) is always possible by e.g. implementing the algorithm on a faster machine.
- Running time should be expressed by simple functions.

# 

31

# **Asymptotic Notation**

There is an equivalent definition using limes notation (assuming that the respective limes exists). f and g are functions from  $\mathbb{N}_0$ to  $\mathbb{R}_0^+$ .

• 
$$g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$$
:  $0 \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} < \infty$ 

• 
$$g \in \Omega(f)$$
:  $0 < \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} \le \infty$ 

• 
$$g \in \Theta(f)$$
:  $0 < \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} < \infty$ 

• 
$$g \in \omega(f)$$
:  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = \infty$ 

- · Note that for the version of the Landau notation defined here, we assume that f and g are positive func-
- There also exist versions for arbitrary functions, and for the case that the limes is not infinity.

### **Asymptotic Notation**

#### Formal Definition

Let f denote functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

- $\mathcal{O}(f) = \{g \mid \exists c > 0 \ \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \ \forall n \ge n_0 : [g(n) \le c \cdot f(n)] \}$ (set of functions that asymptotically grow not faster than *f*)
- $\Omega(f) = \{g \mid \exists c > 0 \ \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \ \forall n \ge n_0 : [g(n) \ge c \cdot f(n)] \}$ (set of functions that asymptotically grow not slower than f)
- $\bullet$   $\Theta(f) = \Omega(f) \cap \mathcal{O}(f)$ (functions that asymptotically have the same growth as *f*)
- ▶  $o(f) = \{g \mid \forall c > 0 \ \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \ \forall n \ge n_0 : [g(n) \le c \cdot f(n)]\}$ (set of functions that asymptotically grow slower than f)
- $\omega(f) = \{g \mid \forall c > 0 \ \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \ \forall n \ge n_0 : [g(n) \ge c \cdot f(n)] \}$ (set of functions that asymptotically grow faster than f)



Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

5 Asymptotic Notation

32

## **Asymptotic Notation**

#### Abuse of notation

||||||||||| Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

- 1. People write  $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$ , when they mean  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ . This is **not** an equality (how could a function be equal to a set of functions).
- **2.** People write  $f(n) = \mathcal{O}(g(n))$ , when they mean  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ , with  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $n \mapsto f(n)$ , and  $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $n \mapsto g(n)$ .
- **3.** People write e.g. h(n) = f(n) + o(g(n)) when they mean that there exists a function  $z: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+, n \mapsto z(n), z \in o(g)$ such that h(n) = f(n) + z(n).
- 2. In this context f(n) does **not** mean the  $\frac{1}{n}$  3. This is particularly useful if you do not function f evaluated at n, but instead ' it is a shorthand for the function itself i (leaving out domain and codomain and only giving the rule of correspondence of the function).
  - want to ignore constant factors. For example the median of n elements can be determined using  $\frac{3}{2}n + o(n)$  compar-

## **Asymptotic Notation**

#### Abuse of notation

**4.** People write  $\mathcal{O}(f(n)) = \mathcal{O}(g(n))$ , when they mean  $\mathcal{O}(f(n)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(g(n))$ . Again this is not an equality.

Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

5 Asymptotic Notation

34

# **Asymptotic Notation in Equations**

How do we interpret an expression like:

$$2n^2 + O(n) = \Theta(n^2)$$

Regardless of how we choose the anonymous function  $f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n)$  there is an anonymous function  $g(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$ that makes the expression true.

## **Asymptotic Notation in Equations**

How do we interpret an expression like:

$$2n^2 + 3n + 1 = 2n^2 + \Theta(n)$$

Here,  $\Theta(n)$  stands for an anonymous function in the set  $\Theta(n)$ that makes the expression true.

Note that  $\Theta(n)$  is on the right hand side, otw. this interpretation is wrong.



Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

5 Asymptotic Notation

The  $\Theta(i)$ -symbol on the left rep-

 $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , and then  $\sum_i f(i)$  is

computed.

# Asymptotic Notation in Equations | The @(1)-symbol on the left lepresents one anonymous function

How do we interpret an expression like:

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Theta(i) = \Theta(n^2)$ 

#### Careful!

"It is understood" that every occurence of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -symbol (or  $\Theta, \Omega, \rho, \omega$ ) on the left represents one anonymous function.

Hence, the left side is not equal to

$$\Theta(1) + \Theta(2) + \cdots + \Theta(n-1) + \Theta(n)$$
 
$$\Theta(1) + \Theta(2) + \cdots + \Theta(n-1) + \Theta(n) \text{ does }$$
 not really have a reasonable interpretation.



36

### **Asymptotic Notation in Equations**

We can view an expression containing asymptotic notation as generating a set:

$$n^2 \cdot \mathcal{O}(n) + \mathcal{O}(\log n)$$

represents

$$\left\{ f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \mid f(n) = n^2 \cdot g(n) + h(n) \right.$$
 with  $g(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n)$  and  $h(n) \in \mathcal{O}(\log n) \left. \right\}$  Recall that according to the previous slide e.g. the expressions  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}(i)$  and  $\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \mathcal{O}(i) + \sum_{i=n/2+1}^n \mathcal{O}(i) \right|$  generate different sets.



Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

5 Asymptotic Notation

#### **Asymptotic Notation in Equations**

Then an asymptotic equation can be interpreted as containement btw. two sets:

$$n^2 \cdot \mathcal{O}(n) + \mathcal{O}(\log n) = \Theta(n^2)$$

represents

$$n^2 \cdot \mathcal{O}(n) + \mathcal{O}(\log n) \subseteq \Theta(n^2)$$

Note that the equation does not hold.



5 Asymptotic Notation

39

# **Asymptotic Notation**

#### Lemma 1

Let f, g be functions with the property

 $\exists n_0 > 0 \ \forall n \ge n_0 : f(n) > 0$  (the same for *g*). Then

- $ightharpoonup c \cdot f(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$  for any constant c
- $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(f(n)) + \mathcal{O}(g(n)) = \mathcal{O}(f(n) + g(n))$
- $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(f(n)) \cdot \mathcal{O}(g(n)) = \mathcal{O}(f(n) \cdot g(n))$
- $\mathcal{O}(f(n)) + \mathcal{O}(g(n)) = \mathcal{O}(\max\{f(n), g(n)\})$

The expressions also hold for  $\Omega$ . Note that this means that  $f(n) + g(n) \in \Theta(\max\{f(n), g(n)\}).$ 

5 Asymptotic Notation

# **Asymptotic Notation**

#### Comments

- Do not use asymptotic notation within induction proofs.
- ► For any constants a, b we have  $\log_a n = \Theta(\log_b n)$ . Therefore, we will usually ignore the base of a logarithm within asymptotic notation.
- ▶ In general  $\log n = \log_2 n$ , i.e., we use 2 as the default base for the logarithm.

## **Asymptotic Notation**

In general asymptotic classification of running times is a good measure for comparing algorithms:

- ▶ If the running time analysis is tight and actually occurs in practise (i.e., the asymptotic bound is not a purely theoretical worst-case bound), then the algorithm that has better asymptotic running time will always outperform a weaker algorithm for large enough values of *n*.
- ► However, suppose that I have two algorithms:
  - Algorithm A. Running time  $f(n) = 1000 \log n = \mathcal{O}(\log n)$ .
  - ► Algorithm B. Running time  $g(n) = \log^2 n$ .

Clearly f = o(g). However, as long as  $\log n \le 1000$  Algorithm B will be more efficient.



5 Asymptotic Notation

42

## **5 Asymptotic Notation**

#### Bibliography

[MS08] Kurt Mehlhorn, Peter Sanders:

Algorithms and Data Structures — The Basic Toolbox,

Springer, 2008

[CLRS90] Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ron L. Rivest, Clifford Stein:

Introduction to algorithms (3rd ed.),

McGraw-Hill, 2009

Mainly Chapter 3 of [CLRS90]. [MS08] covers this topic in chapter 2.1 but not very detailed.

Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

5 Asymptotic Notation

43