What do you measure?

- Memory requirement
- Running time
- Number of comparisons
- Number of multiplications
- Number of hard-disc accesses
- Program size
- Power consumption
- **.** . . .



How do you measure?

- Implementing and testing on representative inputs
 - How do you choose your inputs?
 - May be very time-consuming.
 - Very reliable results if done correctly.
 - Results only hold for a specific machine and for a specific set of inputs.
- Theoretical analysis in a specific model of computation.
 - Gives asymptotic bounds like "this algorithm always runs in time $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ ".
 - Typically focuses on the worst case.
 - Can give lower bounds like "any comparison-based sorting algorithm needs at least $\Omega(n \log n)$ comparisons in the worst case".



Input length

The theoretical bounds are usually given by a function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that maps the input length to the running time (or storage space, comparisons, multiplications, program size etc.).

The input length may e.g. be

- the size of the input (number of bits)
- the number of arguments

Example 1

Suppose n numbers from the interval $\{1,\ldots,N\}$ have to be sorted. In this case we usually say that the input length is ninstead of e.g. $n \log N$, which would be the number of bits required to encode the input.

Model of Computation


How to measure performance

- Calculate running time and storage space etc. on a simplified, idealized model of computation, e.g. Random Access Machine (RAM), Turing Machine (TM), . . .
- 2. Calculate number of certain basic operations: comparisons, multiplications, harddisc accesses, . . .

Version 2. is often easier, but focusing on one type of operation makes it more difficult to obtain meaningful results.


Turing Machine

- Very simple model of computation.
- Only the "current" memory location can be altered.
- Very good model for discussing computability, or polynomial vs. exponential time.
- Some simple problems like recognizing whether input is of the form xx, where x is a string, have quadratic lower bound.
- ⇒ Not a good model for developing efficient algorithms.



Random Access Machine (RAM)

- Input tape and output tape (sequences of zeros and ones; unbounded length).
- Memory unit: infinite but countable number of registers $R[0], R[1], R[2], \ldots$
- Registers hold integers.
- Indirect addressing.



Note that in the picture on the right the tapes are one-directional, and that a READ- or WRITE-operation always advances its tape.

Random Access Machine (RAM)

Operations

- input operations (input tape → R[i])
 - ► READ *i*
- ▶ output operations $(R[i] \rightarrow \text{output tape})$
 - ▶ WRTTF *i*
- register-register transfers
 - ► R[j] := R[i]► R[j] := 4
- indirect addressing
 - ▶ R[j] := R[R[i]]loads the content of the R[i]-th register into the j-th register
 - R[R[i]] := R[j] loads the content of the j-th into the R[i]-th register

Random Access Machine (RAM)

Operations

- branching (including loops) based on comparisons
 - jump x jumps to position x in the program; sets instruction counter to x; reads the next operation to perform from register R[x]
 - jumpz x R[i]
 jump to x if R[i] = 0
 - if not the instruction counter is increased by 1;
 - jumpi i jump to R[i] (indirect jump);
- ▶ arithmetic instructions: +, -, ×, /
 - ► R[i] := R[j] + R[k];R[i] := -R[k];

The jump-directives are very close to the jump-instructions contained in the assembler language of real machines.

Model of Computation

- uniform cost modelEvery operation takes time 1.
- logarithmic cost model The cost depends on the content of memory cells:
 - The time for a step is equal to the largest operand involved;
 - ► The storage space of a register is equal to the length (in bits) of the largest value ever stored in it.

Bounded word RAM model: cost is uniform but the largest value stored in a register may not exceed 2^w , where usually $w = \log_2 n$.

The latter model is quite realistic as the word-size of a standard computer that handles a problem of size n must be at least $\log_2 n$ as otherwise the computer could either not store the problem instance or not address all its memory.



Example 2

Algorithm 1 RepeatedSquaring(n)

1: $r \leftarrow 2$; 2: **for** $i = 1 \rightarrow n$ **do** 3: $r \leftarrow r^2$ 4: **return** r

- running time:
 - uniform model: n steps
 - ▶ logarithmic model: $1 + 2 + 4 + \cdots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} 1 = \Theta(2^n)$
- space requirement:
 - uniform model: $\mathcal{O}(1)$
 - ▶ logarithmic model: $\mathcal{O}(2^n)$

There are different types of complexity bounds:

best-case complexity:

$$C_{\mathrm{bc}}(n) := \min\{C(x) \mid |x| = n\}$$

Usually easy to analyze, but not very meaningful.

worst-case complexity:

$$C_{\text{WC}}(n) := \max\{C(x) \mid |x| = n\}$$


Usually moderately easy to analyze; sometimes too pessimistic.

average case complexity:

$$C_{\text{avg}}(n) := \frac{1}{|I_n|} \sum_{|x|=n} C(x)$$

more general: probability measure μ

$$C_{\text{avg}}(n) := \sum_{x \in I_n} \mu(x) \cdot C(x)$$



of length n

There are different types of complexity bounds:

- amortized complexity:
 The average cost of data structure operations over a worst case sequence of operations.
- randomized complexity: The algorithm may use random bits. Expected running time (over all possible choices of random bits) for a fixed input x. Then take the worst-case over all x with |x| = n.

Bibliography

```
[MS08] Kurt Mehlhorn, Peter Sanders:
Algorithms and Data Structures — The Basic Toolbox,
Springer, 2008
```

[CLRS90] Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ron L. Rivest, Clifford Stein: Introduction to algorithms (3rd ed.), McGraw-Hill, 2009

Chapter 2.1 and 2.2 of [MS08] and Chapter 2 of [CLRS90] are relevant for this section.