# Proof

Max cardinality matching in $G \leq$ value of maxflow in G'

- ▶ Given a maximum matching M of cardinality k.
- ▶ Consider flow f that sends one unit along each of k paths.
- ▶ f is a flow and has cardinality k.

Proof

**Max cardinality matching in $G \geq$ value of maxflow in G'**

- ▶ Let f be a maxflow in G' of value k
- ▶ Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow k$ integral; we can assume f is 0/1.
- ▶ Consider $M=$ set of edges from L to R with $f(e) = 1$.
- ▶ Each node in L and R participates in at most one edge in M.
- ▶ $|M| = k$, as the flow must use at least k middle edges.

12.1 Matching

Which flow algorithm to use?

- ▶ Generic augmenting path: $\mathcal{O}(m\,\mathrm{val}(f^*)) = \mathcal{O}(mn)$.
- ▶ Capacity scaling: $\mathcal{O}(m^2 \log C) = \mathcal{O}(m^2)$.
- ▶ Shortest augmenting path: $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$.

For unit capacity simple graphs shortest augmenting path can be implemented in time $\mathcal{O}(m\sqrt{n})$.

> A graph is a unit capacity simple graph if
> - ▶ every edge has capacity 1
> - ▶ a node has either at most one leaving edge **or** at most one entering edge

Baseball Elimination

team i	wins w_i	losses ℓ_i	remaining games			
			Atl	Phi	NY	Mon
Atlanta	83	71	–	1	6	1
Philadelphia	80	79	1	–	0	2
New York	78	78	6	0	–	0
Montreal	77	82	1	2	0	–

Which team can end the season with most wins?

- ▶ Montreal is eliminated, since even after winning all remaining games there are only 80 wins.
- ▶ But also Philadelphia is eliminated. Why?

Baseball Elimination

Formal definition of the problem:

- ▶ Given a set S of teams, and one specific team $z \in S$.
- ▶ Team x has already won w_x games.
- ▶ Team x still has to play team y, r_{xy} times.
- ▶ Does team z still have a chance to finish with the most number of wins.

Baseball Elimination

Flow network for $z = 3$. M is number of wins Team 3 can still obtain.

Idea. Distribute the results of remaining games in such a way that no team gets too many wins.

Certificate of Elimination

Let $T \subseteq S$ be a subset of teams. Define

$$w(T) := \sum_{i \in T} w_i, \qquad r(T) := \sum_{i,j \in T, i < j} r_{ij}$$

wins of teams in T

remaining games among teams in T

If $\frac{w(T) + r(T)}{|T|} > M$ then one of the teams in T will have more than M wins in the end. A team that can win at most M games is therefore eliminated.

Theorem 1
A team z is eliminated if and only if the flow network for z does not allow a flow of value $\sum_{ij \in S \setminus \{z\}, i < j} r_{ij}$.

Proof ($\Leftarrow$)

- ▶ Consider the mincut A in the flow network. Let T be the set of team-nodes in A.
- ▶ If for node x-y not both team-nodes x and y are in T, then x-$y \notin A$ as otw. the cut would cut an infinite capacity edge.
- ▶ We don't find a flow that saturates all source edges:

$$r(S \setminus \{z\}) > \text{cap}(A, V \setminus A)$$
$$\geq \sum_{i < j : i \notin T \vee j \notin T} r_{ij} + \sum_{i \in T} (M - w_i)$$
$$\geq r(S \setminus \{z\}) - r(T) + |T|M - w(T)$$

- ▶ This gives $M < (w(T) + r(T))/|T|$, i.e., z is eliminated.

Baseball Elimination

Proof (⇒)

- ▸ Suppose we have a flow that saturates all source edges.
- ▸ We can assume that this flow is integral.
- ▸ For every pairing x-y it defines how many games team x and team y should win.
- ▸ The flow leaving the team-node x can be interpreted as the additional number of wins that team x will obtain.
- ▸ This is less than $M - w_x$ because of capacity constraints.
- ▸ Hence, we found a set of results for the remaining games, such that no team obtains more than M wins in total.
- ▸ Hence, team z is not eliminated.

Project Selection

Project selection problem:

- ▸ Set P of possible projects. Project v has an associated profit p_v (can be positive or negative).
- ▸ Some projects have requirements (taking course EA2 requires course EA1).
- ▸ Dependencies are modelled in a graph. Edge (u, v) means "can't do project u without also doing project v."
- ▸ A subset A of projects is feasible if the prerequisites of every project in A also belong to A.

Goal: Find a feasible set of projects that maximizes the profit.

Project Selection

The prerequisite graph:

- ▸ $\{x, a, z\}$ is a feasible subset.
- ▸ $\{x, a\}$ is infeasible.

Project Selection

Mincut formulation:

- ▸ Edges in the prerequisite graph get infinite capacity.
- ▸ Add edge (s, v) with capacity p_v for nodes v with positive profit.
- ▸ Create edge (v, t) with capacity $-p_v$ for nodes v with negative profit.

prerequisite graph