

## **Matching**

- ▶ Input: undirected graph G = (V, E).
- ▶  $M \subseteq E$  is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality




# **Bipartite Matching**

- ▶ Input: undirected, bipartite graph  $G = (L \uplus R, E)$ .
- ▶  $M \subseteq E$  is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality




## **Bipartite Matching**

- ▶ Input: undirected, bipartite graph  $G = (L \uplus R, E)$ .
- ▶  $M \subseteq E$  is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality



### **Maxflow Formulation**

- ▶ Input: undirected, bipartite graph  $G = (L \uplus R \uplus \{s, t\}, E')$ .
- Direct all edges from L to R.
- Add source s and connect it to all nodes on the left.
- Add t and connect all nodes on the right to t.
- All edges have unit capacity.





12.1 Matching

### **Proof**

## Max cardinality matching in $G \le \text{value of maxflow in } G'$

- Given a maximum matching M of cardinality k.
- ▶ Consider flow f that sends one unit along each of k paths.
- f is a flow and has cardinality k.






### **Proof**

### Max cardinality matching in $G \ge \text{value of maxflow in } G'$

- Let f be a maxflow in G' of value k
- ▶ Integrality theorem  $\Rightarrow k$  integral; we can assume f is 0/1.
- ▶ Consider M= set of edges from L to R with f(e) = 1.
- ▶ Each node in *L* and *R* participates in at most one edge in *M*.
- ▶ |M| = k, as the flow must use at least k middle edges.





12.1 Matching

# 12.1 Matching

#### Which flow algorithm to use?

- Generic augmenting path:  $\mathcal{O}(m \operatorname{val}(f^*)) = \mathcal{O}(mn)$ .
- Capacity scaling:  $\mathcal{O}(m^2 \log C) = \mathcal{O}(m^2)$ .
- ▶ Shortest augmenting path:  $O(mn^2)$ .

For unit capacity simple graphs shortest augmenting path can be implemented in time  $\mathcal{O}(m\sqrt{n})$ .

#### A graph is a unit capacity simple graph if

- every edge has capacity 1
- a node has either at most one leaving edge or at most one entering edge



12.1 Matching

team	wins	losses	remaining games			
i	$w_i$	$\ell_i$	Atl	Phi	NY	Mon
Atlanta	83	71	_	1	6	1
Philadelphia	80	79	1	_	0	2
New York	78	78	6	0	_	0
Montreal	77	82	1	2	0	_

#### Which team can end the season with most wins?


- Montreal is eliminated, since even after winning all remaining games there are only 80 wins.
- But also Philadelphia is eliminated. Why?



#### Formal definition of the problem:

- ▶ Given a set S of teams, and one specific team  $z \in S$ .
- ▶ Team x has already won  $w_x$  games.
- ▶ Team x still has to play team y,  $r_{xy}$  times.
- Does team z still have a chance to finish with the most number of wins.

Flow network for z = 3. M is number of wins Team 3 can still obtain.



**Idea.** Distribute the results of remaining games in such a way that no team gets too many wins.

## **Certificate of Elimination**

Let  $T \subseteq S$  be a subset of teams. Define

$$w(T) := \sum_{i \in T} w_i, \qquad r(T) := \sum_{i,j \in T, i < j} r_{ij}$$
 wins of teams in  $T$  remaining games among teams in  $T$ 

If  $\frac{w(T)+r(T)}{|T|}>M$  then one of the teams in T will have more than M wins in the end. A team that can win at most M games is therefore eliminated.

#### Theorem 1

A team z is eliminated if and only if the flow network for z does not allow a flow of value  $\sum_{i,j \in S \setminus \{z\}, i < j} \gamma_{i,j}$ .

#### Proof (⇐)

- ► Consider the mincut *A* in the flow network. Let *T* be the set of team-nodes in *A*.
- ▶ If for node x-y not both team-nodes x and y are in T, then x- $y \notin A$  as otw. the cut would cut an infinite capacity edge.
- We don't find a flow that saturates all source edges:

$$r(S \setminus \{z\}) > \operatorname{cap}(A, V \setminus A)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i < j: i \notin T \lor j \notin T} r_{ij} + \sum_{i \in T} (M - w_i)$$

$$\geq r(S \setminus \{z\}) - r(T) + |T|M - w(T)$$

► This gives M < (w(T) + r(T))/|T|, i.e., z is eliminated.

#### Proof (⇒)

- Suppose we have a flow that saturates all source edges.
- We can assume that this flow is integral.
- For every pairing x-y it defines how many games team x and team y should win.
- ▶ The flow leaving the team-node x can be interpreted as the additional number of wins that team x will obtain.
- ▶ This is less than  $M w_X$  because of capacity constraints.
- ► Hence, we found a set of results for the remaining games, such that no team obtains more than *M* wins in total.
- Hence, team z is not eliminated.

# **Project Selection**

### Project selection problem:


- Set P of possible projects. Project v has an associated profit  $p_v$  (can be positive or negative).
- Some projects have requirements (taking course EA2 requires course EA1).
- ▶ Dependencies are modelled in a graph. Edge (u, v) means "can't do project u without also doing project v."
- ▶ A subset *A* of projects is feasible if the prerequisites of every project in *A* also belong to *A*.


Goal: Find a feasible set of projects that maximizes the profit.

# **Project Selection**

### The prerequisite graph:

- $\{x, a, z\}$  is a feasible subset.
- $\{x, a\}$  is infeasible.






## **Project Selection**

#### Mincut formulation:

- Edges in the prerequisite graph get infinite capacity.
- Add edge (s, v) with capacity  $p_v$  for nodes v with positive profit.
- ▶ Create edge (v,t) with capacity  $-p_v$  for nodes v with negative profit.



#### Theorem 2

A is a mincut if  $A \setminus \{s\}$  is the optimal set of projects.

#### Proof.

of projects in A.

A is feasible because of capacity infinity edges.

