## 7.6 Skip Lists

Why do we not use a list for implementing the ADT Dynamic Set?

- time for search  $\Theta(n)$
- time for insert  $\Theta(n)$  (dominated by searching the item)
- ► time for delete Θ(1) if we are given a handle to the object, otw. Θ(n)

```
♥

··· → 5 → 8 → 10 → 12 → 14 → 18 → 23 → 26 ↔ 28 ↔ 35 ↔ 43 ↔ ∞
```

Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

207

## 7.6 Skip Lists

Add more express lanes. Lane  $L_i$  contains roughly every  $\frac{L_{i-1}}{L_i}$ -th item from list  $L_{i-1}$ .

Search(x) (k + 1 lists  $L_0, \ldots, L_k$ )

- Find the largest item in list L<sub>k</sub> that is smaller than x. At most |L<sub>k</sub>| + 2 steps.
- Find the largest item in list  $L_{k-1}$  that is smaller than x. At most  $\left[\frac{|L_{k-1}|}{|L_{k}|+1}\right] + 2$  steps.
- Find the largest item in list  $L_{k-2}$  that is smaller than x. At most  $\left[\frac{|L_{k-2}|}{|L_{k-1}|+1}\right] + 2$  steps.
- ▶ ...

• At most 
$$|L_k| + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{L_{i-1}}{L_i} + 3(k+1)$$
 steps.


7.6 Skip Lists

209

## 7.6 Skip Lists

How can we improve the search-operation?

Add an express lane:



Let  $|L_1|$  denote the number of elements in the "express lane", and  $|L_0| = n$  the number of all elements (ignoring dummy elements).

Worst case search time:  $|L_1| + \frac{|L_0|}{|L_1|}$  (ignoring additive constants)

Choose  $|L_1| = \sqrt{n}$ . Then search time  $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ .

## 7.6 Skip Lists

Choose ratios between list-lengths evenly, i.e.,  $\frac{|L_{i-1}|}{|L_i|} = r$ , and, hence,  $L_k \approx r^{-k}n$ .

Worst case running time is:  $\mathcal{O}(r^{-k}n + kr)$ . Choose  $r = n^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} r^{-k}n + kr &= \left(n^{\frac{1}{k+1}}\right)^{-k}n + kn^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \\ &= n^{1-\frac{k}{k+1}} + kn^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \\ &= (k+1)n^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \ . \end{aligned}$$

7.6 Skip Lists

Choosing  $k = \Theta(\log n)$  gives a logarithmic running time.

Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

## 7.6 Skip Lists


#### How to do insert and delete?

If we want that in L<sub>i</sub> we always skip over roughly the same number of elements in L<sub>i-1</sub> an insert or delete may require a lot of re-organisation.

#### **Use randomization instead!**

Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

7.6 Skip Lists



## 7.6 Skip Lists

#### Insert:

- A search operation gives you the insert position for element x in every list.
- ► Flip a coin until it shows head, and record the number t ∈ {1,2,...} of trials needed.
- lnsert x into lists  $L_0, \ldots, L_{t-1}$ .

#### **Delete:**

- > You get all predecessors via backward pointers.
- Delete *x* in all lists it actually appears in.

## The time for both operations is dominated by the search time.

Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

211

7.6 Skip Lists

212

# High Probability Definition 1 (High Probability) We say a randomized algorithm has running time $O(\log n)$ with high probability if for any constant $\alpha$ the running time is at most

 $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  with probability at least  $1 - \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}$ .

Here the  $\mathcal{O}$ -notation hides a constant that may depend on  $\alpha$ .

## **High Probability**


Suppose there are a polynomially many events  $E_1, E_2, ..., E_\ell$ ,  $\ell = n^c$  each holding with high probability (e.g.  $E_i$  may be the event that the *i*-th search in a skip list takes time at most  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ ).

Then the probability that all  $E_i$  hold is at least

 $\Pr[E_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge E_{\ell}] = 1 - \Pr[\bar{E}_1 \vee \cdots \vee \bar{E}_{\ell}]$  $\geq 1 - n^c \cdot n^{-\alpha}$  $= 1 - n^{c-\alpha} .$ 

This means  $\Pr[E_1 \land \cdots \land E_{\ell}]$  holds with high probability.

[הח] [חר	7.6 Skip Lists	
🛛 🕒 🖯 Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke		215



## 7.6 Skip Lists


#### Lemma 2

A search (and, hence, also insert and delete) in a skip list with n elements takes time O(logn) with high probability (w. h. p.).

Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

7.6 Skip Lists

216



### 7.6 Skip Lists

Let  $E_{z,k}$  denote the event that a search path is of length z (number of edges) but does not visit a list above  $L_k$ .

In particular, this means that during the construction in the backward analysis we see at most k heads (i.e., coin flips that tell you to go up) in z trials.

Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

7.6 Skip Lists

219

## 7.6 Skip Lists

So far we fixed  $k = \gamma \log n$ ,  $\gamma \ge 1$ , and  $z = 7\alpha \gamma \log n$ ,  $\alpha \ge 1$ .

This means that a search path of length  $\Omega(\log n)$  visits a list on a level  $\Omega(\log n)$ , w.h.p.

Let  $A_{k+1}$  denote the event that the list  $L_{k+1}$  is non-empty. Then

 $\Pr[A_{k+1}] \le n2^{-(k+1)} \le n^{-(\gamma-1)}$ .

For the search to take at least  $z = 7\alpha\gamma \log n$  steps either the event  $E_{z,k}$  or the event  $A_{k+1}$  must hold. Hence,

 $\begin{aligned} &\Pr[\text{search requires } z \text{ steps}] \leq \Pr[E_{z,k}] + \Pr[A_{k+1}] \\ &\leq n^{-\alpha} + n^{-(\gamma-1)} \end{aligned}$ 

This means, the search requires at most z steps, w. h. p.

## 7.6 Skip Lists

 $\Pr[E_{z,k}] \leq \Pr[\text{at most } k \text{ heads in } z \text{ trials}]$ 

$$\leq \binom{z}{k} 2^{-(z-k)} \leq \left(\frac{ez}{k}\right)^k 2^{-(z-k)} \leq \left(\frac{2ez}{k}\right)^k 2^{-z}$$

choosing  $k = \gamma \log n$  with  $\gamma \ge 1$  and  $z = (\beta + \alpha)\gamma \log n$ 

$$\leq \left(\frac{2ez}{k}\right)^{k} 2^{-\beta k} \cdot n^{-\gamma \alpha} \leq \left(\frac{2ez}{2^{\beta}k}\right)^{k} \cdot n^{-\alpha}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{2e(\beta + \alpha)}{2^{\beta}}\right)^{k} n^{-\alpha}$$

now choosing  $\beta = 6\alpha$  gives

 $\leq$ 

$$\left(\frac{42\alpha}{64^{\alpha}}\right)^k n^{-\alpha} \le n^{-\alpha}$$

for  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

7.6 Skip Lists Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke

220

