13.3 Highest Label

Algorithm 1 highest-label(G, s, t)

```
initialize preflow
foreach u ∈ V \ {s,t} do
    u.current-neighbour ← u.neighbour-list-head
while ∃ active node u do
    select active node u with highest label
    discharge(u)
```


13.3 Highest Label

Lemma 6
When using highest label the number of deactivating pushes is only $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

A push from a node on level ℓ can only “activate” nodes on levels strictly less than ℓ.

This means, after a deactivating push from u a relabel is required to make u active again.

Hence, after n deactivating pushes without an intermediate relabel there are no active nodes left.

Therefore, the number of deactivating pushes is at most $n(\#relabels + 1) = \mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

13.3 Highest Label

Since a discharge-operation is terminated by a deactivating push this gives an upper bound of $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ on the number of discharge-operations.

The cost for relabels and saturating pushes can be estimated in exactly the same way as in the case of the generic push-relabel algorithm.

Question:
How do we find the next node for a discharge operation?

13.3 Highest Label

Maintain lists L_i, $i \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$, where list L_i contains active nodes with label i (maintaining these lists induces only constant additional cost for every push-operation and for every relabel-operation).

After a discharge operation terminated for a node u with label k, traverse the lists $L_k, L_{k-1}, \dots, L_0$, (in that order) until you find a non-empty list.

Unless the last (deactivating) push was to s or t the list $k - 1$ must be non-empty (i.e., the search takes constant time).

13.3 Highest Label

Hence, the total time required for searching for active nodes is at most

$$\mathcal{O}(n^3) + n(\#\textit{deactivating-pushes-to-s-or-t})$$

Lemma 7

The number of deactivating pushes to s or t is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

With this lemma we get

Theorem 8

The push-relabel algorithm with the rule highest-label takes time $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

13.3 Highest Label

Proof of the Lemma.

- We only show that the number of pushes to the source is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$. A similar argument holds for the target.
- After a node v (which must have $\ell(v) = n + 1$) made a deactivating push to the source there needs to be another node whose label is increased from $\leq n + 1$ to $n + 2$ before v can become active again.
- This happens for every push that v makes to the source. Since, every node can pass the threshold $n + 2$ at most once, v can make at most n pushes to the source.
- As this holds for every node the total number of pushes to the source is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.