Definition 1



Definition 1

A red black tree is a balanced binary search tree in which each internal node has two children. Each internal node has a color, such that

1. The root is black.



Definition 1

- 1. The root is black.
- 2. All leaf nodes are black.



Definition 1

- 1. The root is black.
- 2. All leaf nodes are black.
- **3.** For each node, all paths to descendant leaves contain the same number of black nodes.



Definition 1

- 1. The root is black.
- 2. All leaf nodes are black.
- **3.** For each node, all paths to descendant leaves contain the same number of black nodes.
- 4. If a node is red then both its children are black.




Definition 1

A red black tree is a balanced binary search tree in which each internal node has two children. Each internal node has a color, such that

- 1. The root is black.
- 2. All leaf nodes are black.
- **3.** For each node, all paths to descendant leaves contain the same number of black nodes.
- 4. If a node is red then both its children are black.

The null-pointers in a binary search tree are replaced by pointers to special null-vertices, that do not carry any object-data







Lemma 2

A red-black tree with n internal nodes has height at most $O(\log n)$.



Lemma 2

A red-black tree with n internal nodes has height at most $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$.

Definition 3

The black height bh(v) of a node v in a red black tree is the number of black nodes on a path from v to a leaf vertex (not counting v).



Lemma 2

A red-black tree with n internal nodes has height at most $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$.

Definition 3

The black height bh(v) of a node v in a red black tree is the number of black nodes on a path from v to a leaf vertex (not counting v).

We first show:

Lemma 4

A sub-tree of black height bh(v) in a red black tree contains at least $2^{bh(v)} - 1$ internal vertices.



Proof of Lemma 4.



7.2 Red Black Trees

Proof of Lemma 4.

Induction on the height of v.



Proof of Lemma 4.

Induction on the height of *v*.

base case (height(v) = 0)

If height(v) (maximum distance btw. v and a node in the sub-tree rooted at v) is 0 then v is a leaf.



Proof of Lemma 4.

Induction on the height of *v*.

base case (height(v) = 0)

- If height(v) (maximum distance btw. v and a node in the sub-tree rooted at v) is 0 then v is a leaf.
- The black height of v is 0.



Proof of Lemma 4.

Induction on the height of *v*.

base case (height(v) = 0)

- If height(v) (maximum distance btw. v and a node in the sub-tree rooted at v) is 0 then v is a leaf.
- The black height of v is 0.
- ► The sub-tree rooted at v contains 0 = 2^{bh(v)} 1 inner vertices.



Proof (cont.)



7.2 Red Black Trees

Proof (cont.)

induction step

Supose v is a node with height(v) > 0.



Proof (cont.)

- Supose v is a node with height(v) > 0.
- \triangleright v has two children with strictly smaller height.



Proof (cont.)

- Supose v is a node with height(v) > 0.
- \triangleright v has two children with strictly smaller height.
- ► These children (c₁, c₂) either have bh(c_i) = bh(v) or bh(c_i) = bh(v) 1.



Proof (cont.)

- Supose v is a node with height(v) > 0.
- \triangleright v has two children with strictly smaller height.
- These children (c₁, c₂) either have bh(c_i) = bh(v) or bh(c_i) = bh(v) 1.
- **b** By induction hypothesis both sub-trees contain at least $2^{bh(v)-1} 1$ internal vertices.



Proof (cont.)

- Supose v is a node with height(v) > 0.
- \triangleright v has two children with strictly smaller height.
- ► These children (c₁, c₂) either have bh(c_i) = bh(v) or bh(c_i) = bh(v) 1.
- **b** By induction hypothesis both sub-trees contain at least $2^{bh(v)-1} 1$ internal vertices.
- ► Then T_v contains at least $2(2^{bh(v)-1} 1) + 1 \ge 2^{bh(v)} 1$ vertices.



Proof of Lemma 2.



7.2 Red Black Trees

Proof of Lemma 2.

Let h denote the height of the red-black tree, and let P denote a path from the root to the furthest leaf.



Proof of Lemma 2.

Let h denote the height of the red-black tree, and let P denote a path from the root to the furthest leaf.

At least half of the node on P must be black, since a red node must be followed by a black node.



Proof of Lemma 2.

Let h denote the height of the red-black tree, and let P denote a path from the root to the furthest leaf.

At least half of the node on P must be black, since a red node must be followed by a black node.

Hence, the black height of the root is at least h/2.



Proof of Lemma 2.

Let h denote the height of the red-black tree, and let P denote a path from the root to the furthest leaf.

At least half of the node on P must be black, since a red node must be followed by a black node.

Hence, the black height of the root is at least h/2.

The tree contains at least $2^{h/2} - 1$ internal vertices. Hence, $2^{h/2} - 1 \le n$.



Proof of Lemma 2.

Let h denote the height of the red-black tree, and let P denote a path from the root to the furthest leaf.

At least half of the node on P must be black, since a red node must be followed by a black node.

Hence, the black height of the root is at least h/2.

The tree contains at least $2^{h/2} - 1$ internal vertices. Hence, $2^{h/2} - 1 \le n$.

Hence, $h \le 2\log(n+1) = \mathcal{O}(\log n)$.



Definition 1

A red black tree is a balanced binary search tree in which each internal node has two children. Each internal node has a color, such that

- 1. The root is black.
- 2. All leaf nodes are black.
- **3.** For each node, all paths to descendant leaves contain the same number of black nodes.
- 4. If a node is red then both its children are black.

The null-pointers in a binary search tree are replaced by pointers to special null-vertices, that do not carry any object-data.




We need to adapt the insert and delete operations so that the red black properties are maintained.



Rotations


The properties will be maintained through rotations:





7.2 Red Black Trees

Red Black Trees: Insert




Insert:

- first make a normal insert into a binary search tree
- then fix red-black properties



7.2 Red Black Trees

Red Black Trees: Insert




Insert:

- first make a normal insert into a binary search tree
- then fix red-black properties




7.2 Red Black Trees



- first make a normal insert into a binary search tree
- then fix red-black properties




7.2 Red Black Trees



- first make a normal insert into a binary search tree
- then fix red-black properties




7.2 Red Black Trees



- first make a normal insert into a binary search tree
- then fix red-black properties




7.2 Red Black Trees



- first make a normal insert into a binary search tree
- then fix red-black properties



7.2 Red Black Trees




Insert:

- first make a normal insert into a binary search tree
- then fix red-black properties



7.2 Red Black Trees




Insert:

- first make a normal insert into a binary search tree
- then fix red-black properties



7 2 Red Black Trees

32/47



Insert:

- first make a normal insert into a binary search tree
- then fix red-black properties



7.2 Red Black Trees

Invariant of the fix-up algorithm:

z is a red node



- z is a red node
- the black-height property is fulfilled at every node



- z is a red node
- the black-height property is fulfilled at every node
- the only violation of red-black properties occurs at z and parent[z]



- z is a red node
- the black-height property is fulfilled at every node
- the only violation of red-black properties occurs at z and parent[z]
 - either both of them are red (most important case)



- z is a red node
- the black-height property is fulfilled at every node
- the only violation of red-black properties occurs at z and parent[z]
 - either both of them are red (most important case)
 - or the parent does not exist (violation since root must be black)



Invariant of the fix-up algorithm:

- z is a red node
- the black-height property is fulfilled at every node
- the only violation of red-black properties occurs at z and parent[z]
 - either both of them are red (most important case)
 - or the parent does not exist (violation since root must be black)

If z has a parent but no grand-parent we could simply color the parent/root black; however this case never happens.



Alg	Algorithm 10 InsertFix (z)		
1:	while $parent[z] \neq null and col[parent[z]] = red do$		
2:	if $parent[z] = left[gp[z]]$ then		
3:	$uncle \leftarrow right[grandparent[z]]$		
4:	<pre>if col[uncle] = red then</pre>		
5:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[u] \leftarrow black;$		
6:	$col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red; z \leftarrow grandparent[z];$		
7:	else		
8:	if $z = right[parent[z]]$ then		
9:	$z \leftarrow p[z]$; LeftRotate (z) ;		
10:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red;$		
11:	RightRotate $(gp[z]);$		
12:	else same as then-clause but right and left exchanged		
13:	$col(root[T]) \leftarrow black;$		



Algorithm 10 InsertFix(<i>z</i>)		
1: while parent[z] \neq null and col[parent[z]] = red do		
2:	if $parent[z] = left[gp[z]]$ then z in left subtree of grandparent	
3:	$uncle \leftarrow right[grandparent[z]]$	
4:	<pre>if col[uncle] = red then</pre>	
5:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[u] \leftarrow black;$	
6:	$col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red; z \leftarrow grandparent[z];$	
7:	else	
8:	if $z = right[parent[z]]$ then	
9:	$z \leftarrow p[z]$; LeftRotate (z) ;	
10:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red;$	
11:	RightRotate $(gp[z]);$	
12:	else same as then-clause but right and left exchanged	
13: C	$ol(root[T]) \leftarrow black;$	



Alg	Algorithm 10 InsertFix (z)		
1:	while $parent[z] \neq null and col[parent[z]] = red do$		
2:	if $parent[z] = left[gp[z]]$ then		
3:	$uncle \leftarrow right[grandparent[z]]$		
4:	if col[<i>uncle</i>] = red then Case 1: uncle red		
5:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[u] \leftarrow black;$		
6:	$col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red; z \leftarrow grandparent[z];$		
7:	else		
8:	if $z = right[parent[z]]$ then		
9:	$z \leftarrow p[z]$; LeftRotate(z);		
10:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red;$		
11:	RightRotate $(gp[z]);$		
12:	else same as then-clause but right and left exchanged		
13:	$col(root[T]) \leftarrow black;$		



Alg	Algorithm 10 InsertFix(z)		
1:	while $parent[z] \neq null and col[parent[z]] = red do$		
2:	if $parent[z] = left[gp[z]]$ then		
3:	$uncle \leftarrow right[grandparent[z]]$		
4:	<pre>if col[uncle] = red then</pre>		
5:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[u] \leftarrow black;$		
6:	$col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red; z \leftarrow grandparent[z];$		
7:	else Case 2: uncle black		
8:	if $z = right[parent[z]]$ then		
9:	$z \leftarrow p[z]$; LeftRotate(z);		
10:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red;$		
11:	RightRotate $(gp[z]);$		
12:	else same as then-clause but right and left exchanged		
13:	$col(root[T]) \leftarrow black;$		




Alg	Algorithm 10 InsertFix(<i>z</i>)		
1:	while $parent[z] \neq null and col[parent[z]] = red do$		
2:	if $parent[z] = left[gp[z]]$ then		
3:	$uncle \leftarrow right[grandparent[z]]$		
4:	<pre>if col[uncle] = red then</pre>		
5:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[u] \leftarrow black;$		
6:	$col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red; z \leftarrow grandparent[z];$		
7:	else		
8:	if $z = right[parent[z]]$ then 2a: z right child		
9:	$z \leftarrow p[z]$; LeftRotate(z);		
10:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red;$		
11:	RightRotate $(gp[z]);$		
12:	else same as then-clause but right and left exchanged		
13:	$col(root[T]) \leftarrow black;$		




Algorithm 10 InsertFix(z)			
1:	1: while parent[z] \neq null and col[parent[z]] = red do		
2:	if $parent[z] = left[gp[z]]$ then		
3:	$uncle \leftarrow right[grandparent[z]]$		
4:	<pre>if col[uncle] = red then</pre>		
5:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[u] \leftarrow black;$		
6:	$col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red; z \leftarrow grandparent[z];$		
7:	else		
8:	if $z = right[parent[z]]$ then		
9:	$z \leftarrow p[z]$; LeftRotate(z);		
10:	$col[p[z]] \leftarrow black; col[gp[z]] \leftarrow red; 2b: z \text{ left child}$		
11:	RightRotate(gp[z]);		
12:	else same as then-clause but right and left exchanged		
13:	$col(root[T]) \leftarrow black;$		








7.2 Red Black Trees






7.2 Red Black Trees






7.2 Red Black Trees






7.2 Red Black Trees






7.2 Red Black Trees






7.2 Red Black Trees






7.2 Red Black Trees






7.2 Red Black Trees






7.2 Red Black Trees

[tikzpicture optimized away because it does not contribute to exp PDF]





7.2 Red Black Trees





7.2 Red Black Trees

1. rotate around grandparent 2. [reikapputute represente ellet way away be it allose in tradices into the comparison tector retry Poblic skohoenigend property holds R С 13 Ε D uncle С D Ε




7.2 Red Black Trees

- 1. rotate around grandparent
- 2. [reikaphpuicute represente the list wely a weave beet a loss of introduces into the country. Policity photoperty holds

R

С

3. you have a red black tree






7.2 Red Black Trees

6. Feb. 2022 36/47

Ε


D






7.2 Red Black Trees

[tikzpicture optimized away because it does not contribute to ex PDF]






7.2 Red Black Trees






7.2 Red Black Trees





7.2 Red Black Trees





7.2 Red Black Trees

Running time:

Only Case 1 may repeat; but only h/2 many steps, where h is the height of the tree.



Running time:

- Only Case 1 may repeat; but only h/2 many steps, where h is the height of the tree.
- Case $2a \rightarrow Case 2b \rightarrow red-black tree$



Running time:

- Only Case 1 may repeat; but only h/2 many steps, where h is the height of the tree.
- Case $2a \rightarrow Case 2b \rightarrow red-black tree$
- Case 2b → red-black tree



Red Black Trees: Insert

Running time:

- Only Case 1 may repeat; but only h/2 many steps, where h is the height of the tree.
- Case 2a → Case 2b → red-black tree
- Case 2b → red-black tree

Performing Case 1 at most $O(\log n)$ times and every other case at most once, we get a red-black tree. Hence $O(\log n)$ re-colorings and at most 2 rotations.





7.2 Red Black Trees

6. Feb. 2022 39/47

First do a standard delete.



7.2 Red Black Trees

6. Feb. 2022 39/47

First do a standard delete.

If the spliced out node x was red everything is fine.



First do a standard delete.

If the spliced out node x was red everything is fine.

If it was black there may be the following problems.



First do a standard delete.

If the spliced out node x was red everything is fine.

If it was black there may be the following problems.

Parent and child of x were red; two adjacent red vertices.



First do a standard delete.

If the spliced out node x was red everything is fine.

If it was black there may be the following problems.

- Parent and child of x were red; two adjacent red vertices.
- If you delete the root, the root may now be red.




First do a standard delete.


If the spliced out node x was red everything is fine.

If it was black there may be the following problems.


- Parent and child of x were red; two adjacent red vertices.
- If you delete the root, the root may now be red.
- Every path from an ancestor of x to a descendant leaf of x changes the number of black nodes. Black height property might be violated.









- do normal delete
- when replacing content by content of successor, don't change color of node




- do normal delete
- when replacing content by content of successor, don't change color of node




- do normal delete
- when replacing content by content of successor, don't change color of node



- do normal delete
- when replacing content by content of successor, don't change color of node




- do normal delete
- when replacing content by content of successor, don't change color of node




Delete:

deleting black node messes up black-height property



Delete:

- deleting black node messes up black-height property
- ▶ if *z* is red, we can simply color it black and everything is fine



Delete:

- deleting black node messes up black-height property
- ▶ if *z* is red, we can simply color it black and everything is fine
- the problem is if z is black (e.g. a dummy-leaf); we call a fix-up procedure to fix the problem.

Invariant of the fix-up algorithm

the node z is black



Invariant of the fix-up algorithm

- the node z is black
- if we "assign" a fake black unit to the edge from z to its parent then the black-height property is fulfilled





Invariant of the fix-up algorithm


- the node z is black
- if we "assign" a fake black unit to the edge from z to its parent then the black-height property is fulfilled


Goal: make rotations in such a way that you at some point can remove the fake black unit from the edge.

























Here b is either black or red. If it is red we are in a special case that directly leads to a red-black tree.



















Case 3: Sibling black with one black child to the right




Again the blue color of *b* indicates that it can either be black or red.

Case 3: Sibling black with one black child to the right


[tikzpicture optimized away because it does not contribute to exp PDF]




Case 3: Sibling black with one black child to the right




Case 3: Sibling black with one black child to the right





Case 3: Sibling black with one black child to the right








- Here b and d are either red or black but have possibly different colors.
- We recolor c by giving it the color of b.











only Case 2 can repeat; but only h many steps, where h is the height of the tree



only Case 2 can repeat; but only h many steps, where h is the height of the tree

Case 1 → Case 2 (special) → red black tree
 Case 1 → Case 3 → Case 4 → red black tree
 Case 1 → Case 4 → red black tree



- only Case 2 can repeat; but only h many steps, where h is the height of the tree
- Case 1 → Case 2 (special) → red black tree
 Case 1 → Case 3 → Case 4 → red black tree
 Case 1 → Case 4 → red black tree
- Case 3 → Case 4 → red black tree



- only Case 2 can repeat; but only h many steps, where h is the height of the tree
- Case 1 → Case 2 (special) → red black tree
 Case 1 → Case 3 → Case 4 → red black tree
 Case 1 → Case 4 → red black tree
- Case 3 → Case 4 → red black tree
- Case 4 → red black tree



- only Case 2 can repeat; but only h many steps, where h is the height of the tree
- Case 1 → Case 2 (special) → red black tree Case 1 → Case 3 → Case 4 → red black tree Case 1 → Case 4 → red black tree
- Case $3 \rightarrow$ Case $4 \rightarrow$ red black tree
- Case 4 → red black tree

Performing Case 2 at most $O(\log n)$ times and every other step at most once, we get a red black tree. Hence, $O(\log n)$ re-colorings and at most 3 rotations.

