

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Suppose we want to solve $\min\{c^T x \mid Ax \geq b; x \geq 0\}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and we have m constraints.
- ▶ In the worst-case Simplex runs in time roughly $\mathcal{O}(m(m+d)\binom{m+d}{m}) \approx (m+d)^m$. (slightly better bounds on the running time exist, but will not be discussed here).
- ▶ If d is much smaller than m one can do a lot better.
- ▶ In the following we develop an algorithm with running time $\mathcal{O}(d! \cdot m)$, i.e., linear in m .

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Suppose we want to solve $\min\{c^T x \mid Ax \geq b; x \geq 0\}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and we have m constraints.
- ▶ In the worst-case Simplex runs in time roughly $\mathcal{O}(m(m+d)\binom{m+d}{m}) \approx (m+d)^m$. (slightly better bounds on the running time exist, but will not be discussed here).
 - ▶ If d is much smaller than m one can do a lot better.
 - ▶ In the following we develop an algorithm with running time $\mathcal{O}(d! \cdot m)$, i.e., linear in m .

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Suppose we want to solve $\min\{c^T x \mid Ax \geq b; x \geq 0\}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and we have m constraints.
- ▶ In the worst-case Simplex runs in time roughly $\mathcal{O}(m(m+d)\binom{m+d}{m}) \approx (m+d)^m$. (slightly better bounds on the running time exist, but will not be discussed here).
- ▶ If d is much smaller than m one can do a lot better.
- ▶ In the following we develop an algorithm with running time $\mathcal{O}(d! \cdot m)$, i.e., linear in m .

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Suppose we want to solve $\min\{c^T x \mid Ax \geq b; x \geq 0\}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and we have m constraints.
- ▶ In the worst-case Simplex runs in time roughly $\mathcal{O}(m(m+d)\binom{m+d}{m}) \approx (m+d)^m$. (slightly better bounds on the running time exist, but will not be discussed here).
- ▶ If d is much smaller than m one can do a lot better.
- ▶ In the following we develop an algorithm with running time $\mathcal{O}(d! \cdot m)$, i.e., **linear in m** .

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Setting:

- ▶ We assume an LP of the form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \geq b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ We assume that the LP is **bounded**.

Ensuring Conditions

Given a **standard minimization LP**

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \geq b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

how can we obtain an LP of the required form?

- ▶ **Compute a lower bound on $c^T x$ for any basic feasible solution.**

Computing a Lower Bound

Let s denote the smallest common multiple of all denominators of entries in A, b .

Multiply entries in A, b by s to obtain integral entries. This does not change the feasible region.

Add slack variables to A ; denote the resulting matrix with \tilde{A} .

If B is an optimal basis then x_B with $\tilde{A}_B x_B = \tilde{b}$, gives an optimal assignment to the basis variables (non-basic variables are 0).

Computing a Lower Bound

Let s denote the smallest common multiple of all denominators of entries in A, b .

Multiply entries in A, b by s to obtain integral entries. **This does not change the feasible region.**

Add slack variables to A ; denote the resulting matrix with \tilde{A} .

If B is an optimal basis then x_B with $\tilde{A}_B x_B = \tilde{b}$, gives an optimal assignment to the basis variables (non-basic variables are 0).

Computing a Lower Bound

Let s denote the smallest common multiple of all denominators of entries in A, b .

Multiply entries in A, b by s to obtain integral entries. **This does not change the feasible region.**

Add slack variables to A ; denote the resulting matrix with \bar{A} .

If B is an optimal basis then x_B with $\bar{A}_B x_B = \bar{b}$, gives an optimal assignment to the basis variables (non-basic variables are 0).

Computing a Lower Bound

Let s denote the smallest common multiple of all denominators of entries in A, b .

Multiply entries in A, b by s to obtain integral entries. **This does not change the feasible region.**

Add slack variables to A ; denote the resulting matrix with \bar{A} .

If B is an optimal basis then x_B with $\bar{A}_B x_B = \bar{b}$, gives an optimal assignment to the basis variables (non-basic variables are 0).

Theorem 46 (Cramers Rule)

Let M be a matrix with $\det(M) \neq 0$. Then the solution to the system $Mx = b$ is given by

$$x_i = \frac{\det(M_j)}{\det(M)},$$

where M_i is the matrix obtained from M by replacing the i -th column by the vector b .

Proof:

Proof:

- ▶ Define

$$X_i = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | & | & | & & | \\ e_1 & \cdots & e_{i-1} & x & e_{i+1} & \cdots & e_n \\ | & & | & | & | & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that expanding along the i -th column gives that $\det(X_i) = x_i$.

- ▶ Further, we have

$$MX_i = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | & | & | & & | \\ Me_1 & \cdots & Me_{i-1} & Mx & Me_{i+1} & \cdots & Me_n \\ | & & | & | & | & & | \end{pmatrix} = M_i$$

- ▶ Hence,

$$x_i = \det(X_i) = \frac{\det(M_i)}{\det(M)}$$

Proof:

- ▶ Define

$$X_i = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | & | & | & & | \\ e_1 & \cdots & e_{i-1} & x & e_{i+1} & \cdots & e_n \\ | & & | & | & | & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that expanding along the i -th column gives that $\det(X_i) = x_i$.

- ▶ Further, we have

$$MX_i = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | & | & | & & | \\ Me_1 & \cdots & Me_{i-1} & Mx & Me_{i+1} & \cdots & Me_n \\ | & & | & | & | & & | \end{pmatrix} = M_i$$

- ▶ Hence,

$$x_i = \det(X_i) = \frac{\det(M_i)}{\det(M)}$$

Proof:

- ▶ Define

$$X_i = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | & | & | & & | \\ e_1 & \cdots & e_{i-1} & x & e_{i+1} & \cdots & e_n \\ | & & | & | & | & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that expanding along the i -th column gives that $\det(X_i) = x_i$.

- ▶ Further, we have

$$MX_i = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | & | & | & & | \\ Me_1 & \cdots & Me_{i-1} & Mx & Me_{i+1} & \cdots & Me_n \\ | & & | & | & | & & | \end{pmatrix} = M_i$$

- ▶ Hence,

$$x_i = \det(X_i) = \frac{\det(M_i)}{\det(M)}$$

Proof:

- ▶ Define

$$X_i = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | & | & | & & | \\ e_1 & \cdots & e_{i-1} & x & e_{i+1} & \cdots & e_n \\ | & & | & | & | & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that expanding along the i -th column gives that $\det(X_i) = x_i$.

- ▶ Further, we have

$$MX_i = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | & | & | & & | \\ Me_1 & \cdots & Me_{i-1} & Mx & Me_{i+1} & \cdots & Me_n \\ | & & | & | & | & & | \end{pmatrix} = M_i$$

- ▶ Hence,

$$x_i = \det(X_i) = \frac{\det(M_i)}{\det(M)}$$

Bounding the Determinant

Let Z be the maximum absolute entry occurring in \bar{A} , \bar{b} or c . Let C denote the matrix obtained from \bar{A}_B by replacing the j -th column with vector \bar{b} (for some j).

Observe that

$$|\det(C)|$$

Here $\text{sgn}(\pi)$ denotes the **sign** of the permutation, which is 1 if the permutation can be generated by an even number of transpositions (exchanging two elements), and -1 if the number of transpositions is odd. The first identity is known as Leibniz formula.

Bounding the Determinant

Let Z be the maximum absolute entry occurring in \bar{A} , \bar{b} or c . Let C denote the matrix obtained from \bar{A}_B by replacing the j -th column with vector \bar{b} (for some j).

Observe that

$$|\det(C)| = \left| \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_m} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} C_{i\pi(i)} \right|$$

Here $\operatorname{sgn}(\pi)$ denotes the **sign** of the permutation, which is 1 if the permutation can be generated by an even number of transpositions (exchanging two elements), and -1 if the number of transpositions is odd. The first identity is known as Leibniz formula.

Bounding the Determinant

Let Z be the maximum absolute entry occurring in \bar{A} , \bar{b} or c . Let C denote the matrix obtained from \bar{A}_B by replacing the j -th column with vector \bar{b} (for some j).

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(C)| &= \left| \sum_{\pi \in S_m} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} C_{i\pi(i)} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\pi \in S_m} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} |C_{i\pi(i)}| \end{aligned}$$

Here $\operatorname{sgn}(\pi)$ denotes the **sign** of the permutation, which is 1 if the permutation can be generated by an even number of transpositions (exchanging two elements), and -1 if the number of transpositions is odd. The first identity is known as Leibniz formula.

Bounding the Determinant

Let Z be the maximum absolute entry occurring in \bar{A} , \bar{b} or c . Let C denote the matrix obtained from \bar{A}_B by replacing the j -th column with vector \bar{b} (for some j).

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(C)| &= \left| \sum_{\pi \in S_m} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} C_{i\pi(i)} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\pi \in S_m} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} |C_{i\pi(i)}| \\ &\leq m! \cdot Z^m \end{aligned}$$

Here $\operatorname{sgn}(\pi)$ denotes the **sign** of the permutation, which is 1 if the permutation can be generated by an even number of transpositions (exchanging two elements), and -1 if the number of transpositions is odd. The first identity is known as Leibniz formula.

Bounding the Determinant

Alternatively, Hadamards inequality gives

$$|\det(C)|$$

Bounding the Determinant

Alternatively, Hadamard's inequality gives

$$|\det(C)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \|C_{*i}\|$$

Bounding the Determinant

Alternatively, Hadamard's inequality gives


$$|\det(C)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \|C_{*i}\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m (\sqrt{m}Z)$$

Bounding the Determinant

Alternatively, Hadamard's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(C)| &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \|C_{*i}\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m (\sqrt{m}Z) \\ &\leq m^{m/2} Z^m . \end{aligned}$$

Hadamards Inequality



Hadamard's inequality says that the volume of the red parallelepiped (Spat) is smaller than the volume in the black cube (if $\|e_1\| = \|a_1\|$, $\|e_2\| = \|a_2\|$, $\|e_3\| = \|a_3\|$).

Ensuring Conditions

Given a **standard minimization LP**

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \geq b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

how can we obtain an LP of the required form?

- ▶ **Compute a lower bound on $c^T x$ for any basic feasible solution.** Add the constraint $c^T x \geq -dZ(m! \cdot Z^m) - 1$. **Note that this constraint is superfluous unless the LP is unbounded.**

Ensuring Conditions

Compute an optimum basis for the new LP.

- ▶ If the cost is $c^T x = -(dZ)(m! \cdot Z^m) - 1$ we know that the original LP is unbounded.
- ▶ Otw. we have an optimum basis.

In the following we use \mathcal{H} to denote the set of all constraints apart from the constraint $c^T x \geq -dZ(m! \cdot Z^m) - 1$.

We give a routine $\text{SeidelLP}(\mathcal{H}, d)$ that is given a set \mathcal{H} of explicit, non-degenerate constraints over d variables, and minimizes $c^T x$ over all feasible points.

In addition it obeys the implicit constraint $c^T x \geq -(dZ)(m! \cdot Z^m) - 1$.

In the following we use \mathcal{H} to denote the set of all constraints apart from the constraint $c^T x \geq -dZ(m! \cdot Z^m) - 1$.

We give a routine $\text{SeidelLP}(\mathcal{H}, d)$ that is given a set \mathcal{H} of explicit, non-degenerate constraints over d variables, and minimizes $c^T x$ over all feasible points.

In addition it obeys the implicit constraint $c^T x \geq -(dZ)(m! \cdot Z^m) - 1$.

In the following we use \mathcal{H} to denote the set of all constraints apart from the constraint $c^T x \geq -dZ(m! \cdot Z^m) - 1$.

We give a routine $\text{SeidelLP}(\mathcal{H}, d)$ that is given a set \mathcal{H} of **explicit, non-degenerate** constraints over d variables, and minimizes $c^T x$ over all feasible points.

In addition it obeys the implicit constraint $c^T x \geq -(dZ)(m! \cdot Z^m) - 1$.

In the following we use \mathcal{H} to denote the set of all constraints apart from the constraint $c^T x \geq -dZ(m! \cdot Z^m) - 1$.

We give a routine $\text{SeidelLP}(\mathcal{H}, d)$ that is given a set \mathcal{H} of **explicit, non-degenerate** constraints over d variables, and minimizes $c^T x$ over all feasible points.

In addition it obeys the implicit constraint $c^T x \geq -(dZ)(m! \cdot Z^m) - 1$.

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

- 1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;
- 2: **if** $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ **then** return x on implicit constraint hyperplane

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

- 1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;
- 2: **if** $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ **then** return x on implicit constraint hyperplane
- 3: choose **random** constraint $h \in \mathcal{H}$

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

- 1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;
- 2: **if** $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ **then** return x on implicit constraint hyperplane
- 3: choose **random** constraint $h \in \mathcal{H}$
- 4: $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \setminus \{h\}$

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

- 1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;
- 2: **if** $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ **then** return x on implicit constraint hyperplane
- 3: choose **random** constraint $h \in \mathcal{H}$
- 4: $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \setminus \{h\}$
- 5: $\hat{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SeidelLP}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}, d)$

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

- 1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;
- 2: **if** $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ **then** return x on implicit constraint hyperplane
- 3: choose **random** constraint $h \in \mathcal{H}$
- 4: $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \setminus \{h\}$
- 5: $\hat{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SeidelLP}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}, d)$
- 6: **if** $\hat{x}^* = \text{infeasible}$ **then return** infeasible

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

- 1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;
- 2: **if** $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ **then** return x on implicit constraint hyperplane
- 3: choose **random** constraint $h \in \mathcal{H}$
- 4: $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \setminus \{h\}$
- 5: $\hat{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SeidelLP}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}, d)$
- 6: **if** $\hat{x}^* = \text{infeasible}$ **then return** infeasible
- 7: **if** \hat{x}^* fulfills h **then return** \hat{x}^*

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

- 1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;
- 2: **if** $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ **then** return x on implicit constraint hyperplane
- 3: choose **random** constraint $h \in \mathcal{H}$
- 4: $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \setminus \{h\}$
- 5: $\hat{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SeidelLP}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}, d)$
- 6: **if** $\hat{x}^* = \text{infeasible}$ **then return** infeasible
- 7: **if** \hat{x}^* fulfills h **then return** \hat{x}^*
- 8: // **optimal solution fulfills h with equality, i.e., $a_h^T x = b_h$**

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

- 1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;
- 2: **if** $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ **then** return x on implicit constraint hyperplane
- 3: choose **random** constraint $h \in \mathcal{H}$
- 4: $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \setminus \{h\}$
- 5: $\hat{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SeidelLP}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}, d)$
- 6: **if** $\hat{x}^* = \text{infeasible}$ **then return** infeasible
- 7: **if** \hat{x}^* fulfills h **then return** \hat{x}^*
- 8: // **optimal solution fulfills h with equality, i.e., $a_h^T x = b_h$**
- 9: solve $a_h^T x = b_h$ for some variable x_ℓ ;
- 10: eliminate x_ℓ in constraints from $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and in implicit constr.;

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

- 1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;
- 2: **if** $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ **then** return x on implicit constraint hyperplane
- 3: choose **random** constraint $h \in \mathcal{H}$
- 4: $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \setminus \{h\}$
- 5: $\hat{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SeidelLP}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}, d)$
- 6: **if** $\hat{x}^* = \text{infeasible}$ **then return** infeasible
- 7: **if** \hat{x}^* fulfills h **then return** \hat{x}^*
- 8: // **optimal solution fulfills h with equality, i.e., $a_h^T x = b_h$**
- 9: solve $a_h^T x = b_h$ for some variable x_ℓ ;
- 10: eliminate x_ℓ in constraints from $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and in implicit constr.;
- 11: $\hat{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SeidelLP}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}, d - 1)$

Algorithm 1 SeidelLP(\mathcal{H}, d)

- 1: **if** $d = 1$ **then** solve 1-dimensional problem and return;
- 2: **if** $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ **then** return x on implicit constraint hyperplane
- 3: choose **random** constraint $h \in \mathcal{H}$
- 4: $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \setminus \{h\}$
- 5: $\hat{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SeidelLP}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}, d)$
- 6: **if** $\hat{x}^* = \text{infeasible}$ **then return** infeasible
- 7: **if** \hat{x}^* fulfills h **then return** \hat{x}^*
- 8: // **optimal solution fulfills h with equality, i.e., $a_h^T x = b_h$**
- 9: solve $a_h^T x = b_h$ for some variable x_ℓ ;
- 10: eliminate x_ℓ in constraints from $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and in implicit constr.;
- 11: $\hat{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SeidelLP}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}, d - 1)$
- 12: **if** $\hat{x}^* = \text{infeasible}$ **then**
- 13: **return** infeasible
- 14: **else**
- 15: add the value of x_ℓ to \hat{x}^* and return the solution

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Note that for the case $d = 1$, the asymptotic bound $\mathcal{O}(\max\{m, 1\})$ is valid also for the case $m = 0$.

- ▶ If $d = 1$ we can solve the 1-dimensional problem in time $\mathcal{O}(\max\{m, 1\})$.
- ▶ If $d > 1$ and $m = 0$ we take time $\mathcal{O}(d)$ to return d -dimensional vector x .
- ▶ The first recursive call takes time $T(m - 1, d)$ for the call plus $\mathcal{O}(d)$ for checking whether the solution fulfills h .
- ▶ If we are unlucky and \hat{x}^* does not fulfill h we need time $\mathcal{O}(d(m + 1)) = \mathcal{O}(dm)$ to eliminate x_ℓ . Then we make a recursive call that takes time $T(m - 1, d - 1)$.
- ▶ The probability of being unlucky is at most d/m as there are at most d constraints whose removal will decrease the objective function

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Note that for the case $d = 1$, the asymptotic bound $\mathcal{O}(\max\{m, 1\})$ is valid also for the case $m = 0$.

- ▶ If $d = 1$ we can solve the 1-dimensional problem in time $\mathcal{O}(\max\{m, 1\})$.
- ▶ If $d > 1$ and $m = 0$ we take time $\mathcal{O}(d)$ to return d -dimensional vector x .
- ▶ The first recursive call takes time $T(m - 1, d)$ for the call plus $\mathcal{O}(d)$ for checking whether the solution fulfills h .
- ▶ If we are unlucky and \hat{x}^* does not fulfill h we need time $\mathcal{O}(d(m + 1)) = \mathcal{O}(dm)$ to eliminate x_ℓ . Then we make a recursive call that takes time $T(m - 1, d - 1)$.
- ▶ The probability of being unlucky is at most d/m as there are at most d constraints whose removal will decrease the objective function

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Note that for the case $d = 1$, the asymptotic bound $\mathcal{O}(\max\{m, 1\})$ is valid also for the case $m = 0$.

- ▶ If $d = 1$ we can solve the 1-dimensional problem in time $\mathcal{O}(\max\{m, 1\})$.
- ▶ If $d > 1$ and $m = 0$ we take time $\mathcal{O}(d)$ to return d -dimensional vector x .
- ▶ The first recursive call takes time $T(m - 1, d)$ for the call plus $\mathcal{O}(d)$ for checking whether the solution fulfills h .
 - ▶ If we are unlucky and \hat{x}^* does not fulfill h we need time $\mathcal{O}(d(m + 1)) = \mathcal{O}(dm)$ to eliminate x_ℓ . Then we make a recursive call that takes time $T(m - 1, d - 1)$.
 - ▶ The probability of being unlucky is at most d/m as there are at most d constraints whose removal will decrease the objective function

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Note that for the case $d = 1$, the asymptotic bound $\mathcal{O}(\max\{m, 1\})$ is valid also for the case $m = 0$.

- ▶ If $d = 1$ we can solve the 1-dimensional problem in time $\mathcal{O}(\max\{m, 1\})$.
- ▶ If $d > 1$ and $m = 0$ we take time $\mathcal{O}(d)$ to return d -dimensional vector x .
- ▶ The first recursive call takes time $T(m - 1, d)$ for the call plus $\mathcal{O}(d)$ for checking whether the solution fulfills h .
- ▶ If we are unlucky and \hat{x}^* does not fulfill h we need time $\mathcal{O}(d(m + 1)) = \mathcal{O}(dm)$ to eliminate x_ℓ . Then we make a recursive call that takes time $T(m - 1, d - 1)$.
- ▶ The probability of being unlucky is at most d/m as there are at most d constraints whose removal will decrease the objective function

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Note that for the case $d = 1$, the asymptotic bound $\mathcal{O}(\max\{m, 1\})$ is valid also for the case $m = 0$.

- ▶ If $d = 1$ we can solve the 1-dimensional problem in time $\mathcal{O}(\max\{m, 1\})$.
- ▶ If $d > 1$ and $m = 0$ we take time $\mathcal{O}(d)$ to return d -dimensional vector x .
- ▶ The first recursive call takes time $T(m - 1, d)$ for the call plus $\mathcal{O}(d)$ for checking whether the solution fulfills h .
- ▶ If we are unlucky and \hat{x}^* does not fulfill h we need time $\mathcal{O}(d(m + 1)) = \mathcal{O}(dm)$ to eliminate x_ℓ . Then we make a recursive call that takes time $T(m - 1, d - 1)$.
- ▶ The probability of being unlucky is at most d/m as there are at most d constraints whose removal will decrease the objective function

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

This gives the recurrence

$$T(m, d) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\max\{1, m\}) & \text{if } d = 1 \\ \mathcal{O}(d) & \text{if } d > 1 \text{ and } m = 0 \\ \mathcal{O}(d) + T(m - 1, d) + \\ \frac{d}{m}(\mathcal{O}(dm) + T(m - 1, d - 1)) & \text{otw.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $T(m, d)$ denotes the **expected running time**.

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

$$T(m, d) = \begin{cases} C \max\{1, m\} & \text{if } d = 1 \\ Cd & \text{if } d > 1 \text{ and } m = 0 \\ Cd + T(m - 1, d) + \\ \frac{d}{m}(Cdm + T(m - 1, d - 1)) & \text{otw.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $T(m, d)$ denotes the **expected running time**.

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1)$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\} \leq C f(1) \max\{1, m\}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\} \leq C f(1) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(1) \geq 1$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\} \leq C f(1) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(1) \geq 1$$

$d > 1; m = 0$:

$$T(0, d) \leq \mathcal{O}(d)$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\} \leq C f(1) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(1) \geq 1$$

$d > 1; m = 0$:

$$T(0, d) \leq \mathcal{O}(d) \leq Cd$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\} \leq C f(1) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(1) \geq 1$$

$d > 1; m = 0$:

$$T(0, d) \leq \mathcal{O}(d) \leq Cd \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\} \leq C f(1) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(1) \geq 1$$

$d > 1; m = 0$:

$$T(0, d) \leq \mathcal{O}(d) \leq Cd \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(d) \geq d$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\} \leq C f(1) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(1) \geq 1$$

$d > 1; m = 0$:

$$T(0, d) \leq \mathcal{O}(d) \leq Cd \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(d) \geq d$$

$d > 1; m = 1$:

$$T(1, d) = \mathcal{O}(d) + T(0, d) + d(\mathcal{O}(d) + T(0, d - 1))$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\} \leq C f(1) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(1) \geq 1$$

$d > 1; m = 0$:

$$T(0, d) \leq \mathcal{O}(d) \leq Cd \leq C f(d) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(d) \geq d$$

$d > 1; m = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} T(1, d) &= \mathcal{O}(d) + T(0, d) + d(\mathcal{O}(d) + T(0, d-1)) \\ &\leq Cd + Cd + Cd^2 + dCf(d-1) \end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq Cf(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\} \leq Cf(1) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(1) \geq 1$$

$d > 1; m = 0$:

$$T(0, d) \leq \mathcal{O}(d) \leq Cd \leq Cf(d) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(d) \geq d$$

$d > 1; m = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} T(1, d) &= \mathcal{O}(d) + T(0, d) + d(\mathcal{O}(d) + T(0, d-1)) \\ &\leq Cd + Cd + Cd^2 + dCf(d-1) \\ &\leq Cf(d) \max\{1, m\} \end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

Let C be the largest constant in the \mathcal{O} -notations.

We show $T(m, d) \leq Cf(d) \max\{1, m\}$.

$d = 1$:

$$T(m, 1) \leq C \max\{1, m\} \leq Cf(1) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(1) \geq 1$$

$d > 1; m = 0$:

$$T(0, d) \leq \mathcal{O}(d) \leq Cd \leq Cf(d) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(d) \geq d$$

$d > 1; m = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} T(1, d) &= \mathcal{O}(d) + T(0, d) + d(\mathcal{O}(d) + T(0, d-1)) \\ &\leq Cd + Cd + Cd^2 + dCf(d-1) \\ &\leq Cf(d) \max\{1, m\} \text{ for } f(d) \geq 3d^2 + df(d-1) \end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

$d > 1; m > 1 :$

(by induction hypothesis statm. true for $d' < d, m' \geq 0;$

and for $d' = d, m' < m$)

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

$d > 1; m > 1 :$

(by induction hypothesis statm. true for $d' < d, m' \geq 0$;

and for $d' = d, m' < m$)

$$T(m, d) = \mathcal{O}(d) + T(m - 1, d) + \frac{d}{m} (\mathcal{O}(dm) + T(m - 1, d - 1))$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

$d > 1; m > 1 :$

(by induction hypothesis statm. true for $d' < d, m' \geq 0$;

and for $d' = d, m' < m$)

$$\begin{aligned} T(m, d) &= \mathcal{O}(d) + T(m - 1, d) + \frac{d}{m} \left(\mathcal{O}(dm) + T(m - 1, d - 1) \right) \\ &\leq Cd + Cf(d)(m - 1) + Cd^2 + \frac{d}{m} Cf(d - 1)(m - 1) \end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

$d > 1; m > 1 :$

(by induction hypothesis statm. true for $d' < d, m' \geq 0$;

and for $d' = d, m' < m$)

$$\begin{aligned}T(m, d) &= \mathcal{O}(d) + T(m - 1, d) + \frac{d}{m} \left(\mathcal{O}(dm) + T(m - 1, d - 1) \right) \\&\leq Cd + Cf(d)(m - 1) + Cd^2 + \frac{d}{m} Cf(d - 1)(m - 1) \\&\leq 2Cd^2 + Cf(d)(m - 1) + dCf(d - 1)\end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

$d > 1; m > 1 :$

(by induction hypothesis statm. true for $d' < d, m' \geq 0$;

and for $d' = d, m' < m$)

$$\begin{aligned}T(m, d) &= \mathcal{O}(d) + T(m - 1, d) + \frac{d}{m} \left(\mathcal{O}(dm) + T(m - 1, d - 1) \right) \\&\leq Cd + Cf(d)(m - 1) + Cd^2 + \frac{d}{m} Cf(d - 1)(m - 1) \\&\leq 2Cd^2 + Cf(d)(m - 1) + dCf(d - 1) \\&\leq Cf(d)m\end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

$d > 1; m > 1 :$

(by induction hypothesis statm. true for $d' < d, m' \geq 0$;

and for $d' = d, m' < m$)

$$\begin{aligned}T(m, d) &= \mathcal{O}(d) + T(m - 1, d) + \frac{d}{m} \left(\mathcal{O}(dm) + T(m - 1, d - 1) \right) \\&\leq Cd + Cf(d)(m - 1) + Cd^2 + \frac{d}{m} Cf(d - 1)(m - 1) \\&\leq 2Cd^2 + Cf(d)(m - 1) + dCf(d - 1) \\&\leq Cf(d)m\end{aligned}$$

if $f(d) \geq df(d - 1) + 2d^2$.

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Define $f(1) = 3 \cdot 1^2$ and $f(d) = df(d-1) + 3d^2$ for $d > 1$.

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Define $f(1) = 3 \cdot 1^2$ and $f(d) = df(d-1) + 3d^2$ for $d > 1$.

Then

$$f(d)$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Define $f(1) = 3 \cdot 1^2$ and $f(d) = df(d-1) + 3d^2$ for $d > 1$.

Then

$$f(d) = 3d^2 + df(d-1)$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Define $f(1) = 3 \cdot 1^2$ and $f(d) = df(d-1) + 3d^2$ for $d > 1$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(d) &= 3d^2 + df(d-1) \\ &= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1)f(d-2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Define $f(1) = 3 \cdot 1^2$ and $f(d) = df(d-1) + 3d^2$ for $d > 1$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}f(d) &= 3d^2 + df(d-1) \\&= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1)f(d-2) \right] \\&= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1) \left[3(d-2)^2 + (d-2)f(d-3) \right] \right]\end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Define $f(1) = 3 \cdot 1^2$ and $f(d) = df(d-1) + 3d^2$ for $d > 1$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}f(d) &= 3d^2 + df(d-1) \\&= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1)f(d-2) \right] \\&= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1) \left[3(d-2)^2 + (d-2)f(d-3) \right] \right] \\&= 3d^2 + 3d(d-1)^2 + 3d(d-1)(d-2)^2 + \dots \\&\quad + 3d(d-1)(d-2) \cdot \dots \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1^2\end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Define $f(1) = 3 \cdot 1^2$ and $f(d) = df(d-1) + 3d^2$ for $d > 1$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}f(d) &= 3d^2 + df(d-1) \\&= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1)f(d-2) \right] \\&= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1) \left[3(d-2)^2 + (d-2)f(d-3) \right] \right] \\&= 3d^2 + 3d(d-1)^2 + 3d(d-1)(d-2)^2 + \dots \\&\quad + 3d(d-1)(d-2) \cdot \dots \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1^2 \\&= 3d! \left(\frac{d^2}{d!} + \frac{(d-1)^2}{(d-1)!} + \frac{(d-2)^2}{(d-2)!} + \dots \right)\end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Define $f(1) = 3 \cdot 1^2$ and $f(d) = df(d-1) + 3d^2$ for $d > 1$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}f(d) &= 3d^2 + df(d-1) \\&= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1)f(d-2) \right] \\&= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1) \left[3(d-2)^2 + (d-2)f(d-3) \right] \right] \\&= 3d^2 + 3d(d-1)^2 + 3d(d-1)(d-2)^2 + \dots \\&\quad + 3d(d-1)(d-2) \cdot \dots \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1^2 \\&= 3d! \left(\frac{d^2}{d!} + \frac{(d-1)^2}{(d-1)!} + \frac{(d-2)^2}{(d-2)!} + \dots \right) \\&= \mathcal{O}(d!)\end{aligned}$$

8 Seidels LP-algorithm

- ▶ Define $f(1) = 3 \cdot 1^2$ and $f(d) = df(d-1) + 3d^2$ for $d > 1$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}f(d) &= 3d^2 + df(d-1) \\&= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1)f(d-2) \right] \\&= 3d^2 + d \left[3(d-1)^2 + (d-1) \left[3(d-2)^2 + (d-2)f(d-3) \right] \right] \\&= 3d^2 + 3d(d-1)^2 + 3d(d-1)(d-2)^2 + \dots \\&\quad + 3d(d-1)(d-2) \cdot \dots \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1^2 \\&= 3d! \left(\frac{d^2}{d!} + \frac{(d-1)^2}{(d-1)!} + \frac{(d-2)^2}{(d-2)!} + \dots \right) \\&= \mathcal{O}(d!)\end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{i^2}{i!}$ is a constant.

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{i^2}{i!} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{i+1}{i!} = e + \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{i}{i!} = 2e$$