Preflows

Preflows

Definition 53

An (s,t)-preflow is a function $f : E \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$ that satisfies

1. For each edge e

$$0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e) \ .$$

(capacity constraints)

Preflows

Definition 53

An (s,t)-preflow is a function $f : E \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$ that satisfies

1. For each edge e

$$0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e) \ .$$

(capacity constraints)

2. For each $v \in V \setminus \{s,t\}$

$$\sum_{e \in \text{out}(v)} f(e) \leq \sum_{e \in \text{into}(v)} f(e) \ .$$

Preflows

Example 54



Preflows

Example 54



A node that has $\sum_{e\in \text{out}(v)} f(e) < \sum_{e\in \text{into}(v)} f(e)$ is called an active node.

Preflows

Preflows

Definition:

A labelling is a function $\ell : V \to \mathbb{N}$. It is valid for preflow f if

- $\ell(u) \leq \ell(v) + 1$ for all edges (u, v) in the residual graph G_f (only non-zero capacity edges!!!)

Preflows

Definition:

A labelling is a function $\ell : V \to \mathbb{N}$. It is valid for preflow f if

- $\ell(u) \leq \ell(v) + 1$ for all edges (u, v) in the residual graph G_f (only non-zero capacity edges!!!)
- $\ell(s) = n$

Preflows

Definition:

A labelling is a function $\ell : V \to \mathbb{N}$. It is valid for preflow f if

- $\ell(u) \leq \ell(v) + 1$ for all edges (u, v) in the residual graph G_f (only non-zero capacity edges!!!)
- $\ell(s) = n$
- $\ell(t) = 0$

Preflows

Definition:

A labelling is a function $\ell : V \to \mathbb{N}$. It is valid for preflow f if

- $\ell(u) \leq \ell(v) + 1$ for all edges (u, v) in the residual graph G_f (only non-zero capacity edges!!!)
- $\ell(s) = n$
- $\ell(t) = 0$

Intuition:

The labelling can be viewed as a height function. Whenever the height from node u to node v decreases by more than 1 (i.e., it goes very steep downhill from u to v), the corresponding edge must be saturated.

Preflows


0
0
a
c
0|4
20|20
0|5
6
s
0|2
0|8
0|7
t
0
10|10
0|8
b
d
0|12
0
0


0|20
0|0
a
c
4
0
0
20
5
7
6
s
0
0
t
0
2
8
10
0
8
0
12
b
d
0
0|10
0|0

Preflows


0
0
a
c
0|4
20|20
0|2
0|8
0|7
0|5
6
s
t
0
10|10
0|12
0|8
b
d
0
0


0|20
0|0
a
c
4
0
0
5
20
0
7
6
s
t
0
2
8
0
8
10
0
12
0
0
b
d
0|10
0|0

Preflows

Preflows

Lemma 55

A preflow that has a valid labelling saturates a cut.

Preflows

Lemma 55

A preflow that has a valid labelling saturates a cut.

Proof:

- There are n nodes but $n+1$ different labels from $0, \ldots, n$.

Preflows

Lemma 55

A preflow that has a valid labelling saturates a cut.

Proof:

- There are n nodes but $n+1$ different labels from $0, \ldots, n$.
- There must exist a label $d \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ such that none of the nodes carries this label.

Preflows

Lemma 55

A preflow that has a valid labelling saturates a cut.

Proof:

- There are n nodes but $n+1$ different labels from $0,\ldots,n$.
- There must exist a label $d \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$ such that none of the nodes carries this label.
- Let $A = \{v \in V \mid \ell(v) > d\}$ and $B = \{v \in V \mid \ell(v) < d\}$.

Preflows

Lemma 55

A preflow that has a valid labelling saturates a cut.

Proof:

- There are n nodes but $n+1$ different labels from $0,\ldots,n$.
- There must exist a label $d \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$ such that none of the nodes carries this label.
- Let $A = \{v \in V \mid \ell(v) > d\}$ and $B = \{v \in V \mid \ell(v) < d\}$.
- We have $s \in A$ and $t \in B$ and there is no edge from A to B in the residual graph G_f; this means that (A,B) is a saturated cut.

Preflows

Lemma 55

A preflow that has a valid labelling saturates a cut.

Proof:

- There are n nodes but $n+1$ different labels from $0,\ldots,n$.
- There must exist a label $d \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$ such that none of the nodes carries this label.
- Let $A = \{v \in V \mid \ell(v) > d\}$ and $B = \{v \in V \mid \ell(v) < d\}$.
- We have $s \in A$ and $t \in B$ and there is no edge from A to B in the residual graph G_f; this means that (A,B) is a saturated cut.

Lemma 56

A flow that has a valid labelling is a maximum flow.

Push Relabel Algorithms

Push Relabel Algorithms

Idea:

- start with some preflow and some valid labelling

Push Relabel Algorithms

Idea:

- start with some preflow and some valid labelling
- successively change the preflow while maintaining a valid labelling

Push Relabel Algorithms

Idea:

- start with some preflow and some valid labelling
- successively change the preflow while maintaining a valid labelling
- stop when you have a flow (i.e., no more active nodes)

Changing a Preflow

Changing a Preflow

An arc (u, v) with $c_f(u, v) > 0$ in the residual graph is admissible if $\ell(u) = \ell(v) + 1$ (i.e., it goes downwards w.r.t. labelling ℓ).

Changing a Preflow

An arc (u, v) with $c_f(u, v) > 0$ in the residual graph is admissible if $\ell(u) = \ell(v) + 1$ (i.e., it goes downwards w.r.t. labelling ℓ).

The push operation

Consider an active node u with excess flow $f(u) = \sum_{e \in \text{into}(u)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in \text{out}(u)} f(e)$ and suppose $e = (u, v)$ is an admissible arc with residual capacity $c_f(e)$.

Changing a Preflow

An arc (u, v) with $c_f(u, v) > 0$ in the residual graph is admissible if $\ell(u) = \ell(v) + 1$ (i.e., it goes downwards w.r.t. labelling ℓ).

The push operation

Consider an active node u with excess flow $f(u) = \sum_{e \in \text{into}(u)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in \text{out}(u)} f(e)$ and suppose $e = (u, v)$ is an admissible arc with residual capacity $c_f(e)$.

We can send flow $\min\{c_f(e), f(u)\}$ along e and obtain a new preflow. The old labelling is still valid (!!!).

Changing a Preflow

An arc (u, v) with $c_f(u, v) > 0$ in the residual graph is admissible if $\ell(u) = \ell(v) + 1$ (i.e., it goes downwards w.r.t. labelling ℓ).

The push operation

Consider an active node u with excess flow $f(u) = \sum_{e \in \text{into}(u)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in \text{out}(u)} f(e)$ and suppose $e = (u, v)$ is an admissible arc with residual capacity $c_f(e)$.

We can send flow $\min\{c_f(e), f(u)\}$ along e and obtain a new preflow. The old labelling is still valid (!!!).

- saturating push: $\min\{f(u), c_f(e)\} = c_f(e)$
  the arc e is deleted from the residual graph

Changing a Preflow

An arc (u, v) with $c_f(u, v) > 0$ in the residual graph is admissible if $\ell(u) = \ell(v) + 1$ (i.e., it goes downwards w.r.t. labelling ℓ).

The push operation

Consider an active node u with excess flow $f(u) = \sum_{e \in \text{into}(u)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in \text{out}(u)} f(e)$ and suppose $e = (u, v)$ is an admissible arc with residual capacity $c_f(e)$.

We can send flow $\min\{c_f(e), f(u)\}$ along e and obtain a new preflow. The old labelling is still valid (!!!).

- saturating push: $\min\{f(u), c_f(e)\} = c_f(e)$
  the arc e is deleted from the residual graph
- deactivating push: $\min\{f(u), c_f(e)\} = f(u)$
  the node u becomes inactive

Push Relabel Algorithms

Push Relabel Algorithms

The relabel operation

Consider an active node u that does not have an outgoing admissible arc.

Push Relabel Algorithms

The relabel operation

Consider an active node u that does not have an outgoing admissible arc.

Increasing the label of u by 1 results in a valid labelling.

Push Relabel Algorithms

The relabel operation

Consider an active node u that does not have an outgoing admissible arc.

Increasing the label of u by 1 results in a valid labelling.

- Edges (w,u) incoming to u still fulfill their constraint $\ell(w) \leq \ell(u)+1$.

Push Relabel Algorithms

The relabel operation

Consider an active node u that does not have an outgoing admissible arc.

Increasing the label of u by 1 results in a valid labelling.

- Edges (w,u) incoming to u still fulfill their constraint $\ell(w) \leq \ell(u)+1$.
- An outgoing edge (u,w) had $\ell(u) < \ell(w)+1$ before since it was not admissible. Now: $\ell(u) \leq \ell(w)+1$.

Push Relabel Algorithms

Intuition:

We want to send flow downwards, since the source has a height/label of n and the target a height/label of 0. If we see an active node u with an admissible arc we push the flow at u towards the other end-point that has a lower height/label. If we do not have an admissible arc but excess flow into u it should roughly mean that the level/height/label of u should rise. (If we consider the flow to be water then this would be natural.)

Note that the above intuition is very incorrect as the labels are integral, i.e., they cannot really be seen as the height of a node.

Reminder

- In a preflow nodes may not fulfill conservation constraints; a node may have more incoming flow than outgoing flow.
- Such a node is called active.
- A labelling is valid if for every edge (u,v) in the residual graph $\ell(u) \leq \ell(v) + 1$.
- An arc (u,v) in residual graph is admissible if $\ell(u) = \ell(v) + 1$.
- A saturating push along e pushes an amount of $c(e)$ flow along the edge, thereby saturating the edge (and making it dissappear from the residual graph).
- A deactivating push along $e = (u,v)$ pushes a flow of $f(u)$, where $f(u)$ is the excess flow of u. This makes u inactive.

Push Relabel Algorithms

Algorithm 1 maxflow(G, s, t, c)

```
1: find initial preflow f
2: while there is active node u do
3:       if there is admiss. arc e out of u then
4:             push(G, e, f, c)
5:       else
6:             relabel(u)
7: return f
```

Push Relabel Algorithms

Algorithm 1 maxflow(G, s, t, c)

```
1: find initial preflow f
2: while there is active node u do
3:       if there is admiss. arc e out of u then
4:             push(G, e, f, c)
5:       else
6:             relabel(u)
7: return f
```

In the following example we always stick to the same active node u until it becomes inactive but this is not required.

Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 1



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 7



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 1



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating and deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 1



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 1



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 2



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating and deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 3



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating and deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 4



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 5



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 5



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating and deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 6



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 7



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating and deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 8



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 8



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



saturating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



relabel to 9



Preflow Push





Preflow Push



deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Preflow Push



deactivating push



Preflow Push





Preflow Push





Analysis

Lemma 57

An active node has a path to s in the residual graph.

Analysis

Lemma 57

An active node has a path to s in the residual graph.

Proof.

- Let A denote the set of nodes that can reach s, and let B denote the remaining nodes. Note that $s \in A$.

Analysis

Lemma 57

An active node has a path to s in the residual graph.

Proof.

- Let A denote the set of nodes that can reach s, and let B denote the remaining nodes. Note that $s \in A$.
- In the following we show that a node $b \in B$ has excess flow $f(b) = 0$ which gives the lemma.

Analysis

Lemma 57

An active node has a path to s in the residual graph.

Proof.

- Let A denote the set of nodes that can reach s, and let B denote the remaining nodes. Note that $s \in A$.
- In the following we show that a node $b \in B$ has excess flow $f(b) = 0$ which gives the lemma.
- In the residual graph there are no edges into A, and, hence, no edges leaving A/entering B can carry any flow.

Analysis

Lemma 57

An active node has a path to s in the residual graph.

Proof.

- Let A denote the set of nodes that can reach s, and let B denote the remaining nodes. Note that $s \in A$.
- In the following we show that a node $b \in B$ has excess flow $f(b) = 0$ which gives the lemma.
- In the residual graph there are no edges into A, and, hence, no edges leaving A/entering B can carry any flow.
- Let $f(B) = \sum_{v \in B} f(v)$ be the excess flow of all nodes in B.

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$f(B)$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$f(B) = \sum_{b \in B} f(b)$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) + \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) - \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) + \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) - \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) + \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) + \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) - \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) + \underbrace{\sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v)}_{= 0} \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) + \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) - \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) + \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) - \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} \underbrace{f(v,b)}_{=0} - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) + \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) - \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) + \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) - \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} \underbrace{f(b,v)}_{\geq 0} \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) + \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) - \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) + \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) - \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a preflow. We introduce the notation

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x,y) \notin E \\ f((x,y)) & (x,y) \in E \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \sum_{b \in B} f(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in V} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in V} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in B} \left(\sum_{v \in A} f(v,b) + \sum_{v \in B} f(v,b) - \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) - \sum_{v \in B} f(b,v) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{v \in A} f(b,v) \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the excess flow $f(b)$ must be 0 for every node $b \in B$.

Analysis

Lemma 58

The label of a node cannot become larger than $2n - 1$.

Analysis

Lemma 58

The label of a node cannot become larger than $2n-1$.

Proof.

- When increasing the label at a node u there exists a path from u to s of length at most $n-1$. Along each edge of the path the height/label can at most drop by 1, and the label of the source is n.

Analysis

Lemma 58

The label of a node cannot become larger than $2n-1$.

Proof.

- When increasing the label at a node u there exists a path from u to s of length at most $n-1$. Along each edge of the path the height/label can at most drop by 1, and the label of the source is n.

Lemma 59

There are only $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ relabel operations.

Analysis

Lemma 60

The number of saturating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$.

Analysis

Lemma 60

The number of saturating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$.

Proof.

- Suppose that we just made a saturating push along (u, v).

Analysis

Lemma 60

The number of saturating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$.

Proof.

- Suppose that we just made a saturating push along (u, v).
- Hence, the edge (u, v) is deleted from the residual graph.

Analysis

Lemma 60

The number of saturating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$.

Proof.

- Suppose that we just made a saturating push along (u, v).
- Hence, the edge (u, v) is deleted from the residual graph.
- For the edge to appear again, a push from v to u is required.

Analysis

Lemma 60

The number of saturating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$.

Proof.

- Suppose that we just made a saturating push along (u, v).
- Hence, the edge (u, v) is deleted from the residual graph.
- For the edge to appear again, a push from v to u is required.
- Currently, $\ell(u) = \ell(v) + 1$, as we only make pushes along admissible edges.

Analysis

Lemma 60

The number of saturating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$.

Proof.

- Suppose that we just made a saturating push along (u, v).
- Hence, the edge (u, v) is deleted from the residual graph.
- For the edge to appear again, a push from v to u is required.
- Currently, $\ell(u) = \ell(v) + 1$, as we only make pushes along admissible edges.
- For a push from v to u the edge (v, u) must become admissible. The label of v must increase by at least 2.

Analysis

Lemma 60

The number of saturating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$.

Proof.

- Suppose that we just made a saturating push along (u, v).
- Hence, the edge (u, v) is deleted from the residual graph.
- For the edge to appear again, a push from v to u is required.
- Currently, $\ell(u) = \ell(v) + 1$, as we only make pushes along admissible edges.
- For a push from v to u the edge (v, u) must become admissible. The label of v must increase by at least 2.
- Since the label of v is at most $2n - 1$, there are at most n pushes along (u, v).

Lemma 61

The number of deactivating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2 m)$.

Lemma 61

The number of deactivating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2 m)$.

Proof.

- Define a potential function $\Phi(f) = \sum_{\text{active nodes } v} \ell(v)$

Lemma 61

The number of deactivating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2 m)$.

Proof.

- Define a potential function $\Phi(f) = \sum_{\text{active nodes } v} \ell(v)$
- A saturating push increases Φ by $\leq 2n$ (when the target node becomes active it may contribute at most $2n$ to the sum).

Lemma 61

The number of deactivating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2 m)$.

Proof.

- Define a potential function $\Phi(f) = \sum_{\text{active nodes } v} \ell(v)$
- A saturating push increases Φ by $\leq 2n$ (when the target node becomes active it may contribute at most $2n$ to the sum).
- A relabel increases Φ by at most 1.

Lemma 61

The number of deactivating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2 m)$.

Proof.

- Define a potential function $\Phi(f) = \sum_{\text{active nodes } v} \ell(v)$
- A saturating push increases Φ by $\leq 2n$ (when the target node becomes active it may contribute at most $2n$ to the sum).
- A relabel increases Φ by at most 1.
- A deactivating push decreases Φ by at least 1 as the node that is pushed from becomes inactive and has a label that is strictly larger than the target.

Lemma 61

The number of deactivating pushes performed is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2 m)$.

Proof.

- Define a potential function $\Phi(f) = \sum_{\text{active nodes } v} \ell(v)$
- A saturating push increases Φ by $\leq 2n$ (when the target node becomes active it may contribute at most $2n$ to the sum).
- A relabel increases Φ by at most 1.
- A deactivating push decreases Φ by at least 1 as the node that is pushed from becomes inactive and has a label that is strictly larger than the target.
- Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\#\text{deactivating\_pushes} &\leq \#\text{relabels} + 2n \cdot \#\text{saturating\_pushes} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(n^2 m) \ .\end{aligned}$$

Analysis

Theorem 62

There is an implementation of the generic push relabel algorithm with running time $\mathcal{O}(n^2 m)$.

Analysis

Proof:

Analysis

Proof:

For every node maintain a list of admissible edges starting at that node. Further maintain a list of active nodes.

Analysis

Proof:

For every node maintain a list of admissible edges starting at that node. Further maintain a list of active nodes.

A push along an edge (u,v) can be performed in constant time

- check whether edge (v,u) needs to be added to G_f

Analysis

Proof:

For every node maintain a list of admissible edges starting at that node. Further maintain a list of active nodes.

A push along an edge (u,v) can be performed in constant time

- check whether edge (v,u) needs to be added to G_f
- check whether (u,v) needs to be deleted (saturating push)

Analysis

Proof:

For every node maintain a list of admissible edges starting at that node. Further maintain a list of active nodes.

A push along an edge (u,v) can be performed in constant time

- check whether edge (v,u) needs to be added to G_f
- check whether (u,v) needs to be deleted (saturating push)
- check whether u becomes inactive and has to be deleted from the set of active nodes

Analysis

Proof:

For every node maintain a list of admissible edges starting at that node. Further maintain a list of active nodes.

A push along an edge (u,v) can be performed in constant time

- check whether edge (v,u) needs to be added to G_f
- check whether (u,v) needs to be deleted (saturating push)
- check whether u becomes inactive and has to be deleted from the set of active nodes

A relabel at a node u can be performed in time $\mathcal{O}(n)$

- check for all outgoing edges if they become admissible

Analysis

Proof:

For every node maintain a list of admissible edges starting at that node. Further maintain a list of active nodes.

A push along an edge (u,v) can be performed in constant time

- check whether edge (v,u) needs to be added to G_f
- check whether (u,v) needs to be deleted (saturating push)
- check whether u becomes inactive and has to be deleted from the set of active nodes

A relabel at a node u can be performed in time $\mathcal{O}(n)$

- check for all outgoing edges if they become admissible
- check for all incoming edges if they become non-admissible

Analysis

For special variants of push relabel algorithms we organize the neighbours of a node into a linked list (possible neighbours in the residual graph G_f). Then we use the discharge-operation:

Algorithm 2 discharge(u)

```
1: while u is active do
2:     v ← u.current-neighbour
3:     if v = null then
4:         relabel(u)
5:         u.current-neighbour ← u.neighbour-list-head
6:     else
7:         if (u, v) admissible then push(u, v)
8:         else u.current-neighbour ← v.next-in-list
```

Note that $u.current\text{-}neighbour$ is a global variable. It is only changed within the discharge routine, but keeps its value between consecutive calls to discharge.

Lemma 63

If $v = \text{null}$ in Line 3, then there is no outgoing admissible edge from u.

Proof.

- While pushing from u the current-neighbour pointer is only advanced if the current edge is not admissible.
- The only thing that could make the edge admissible again would be a relabel at u.
- If we reach the end of the list ($v = \text{null}$) all edges are not admissible. □

This shows that discharge(u) is correct, and that we can perform a relabel in Line 4.

9.2 Relabel to Front

Algorithm 1 relabel-to-front(G, s, t)

```
 1: initialize preflow
 2: initialize node list L containing V \ {s, t} in any order
 3: foreach u ∈ V \ {s, t} do
 4:       u.current-neighbour ← u.neighbour-list-head
 5: u ← L.head
 6: while u ≠ null do
 7:       old-height ← ℓ(u)
 8:       discharge(u)
 9:       if ℓ(u) > old-height then // relabel happened
10:             move u to the front of L
11:       u ← u.next
```

9.2 Relabel to Front

Lemma 64 (Invariant)

In Line 6 of the relabel-to-front algorithm the following invariant holds.

1. *The sequence L is topologically sorted w.r.t. the set of admissible edges; this means for an admissible edge (x, y) the node x appears before y in sequence L.*
2. *No node before u in the list L is active.*

Proof:

- Initialization:
  1. In the beginning s has label $n \geq 2$, and all other nodes have label 0. Hence, no edge is admissible, which means that any ordering L is permitted.
  2. We start with u being the head of the list; hence no node before u can be active
- Maintenance:
  1.
     - Pushes do no create any new admissible edges. Therefore, if discharge() does not relabel u, L is still topologically sorted.
     - After relabeling, u cannot have admissible incoming edges as such an edge (x, u) would have had a difference $\ell(x) - \ell(u) \geq 2$ before the re-labeling (such edges do not exist in the residual graph).
       Hence, moving u to the front does not violate the sorting property for any edge; however it fixes this property for all admissible edges leaving u that were generated by the relabeling.

9.2 Relabel to Front

Proof:

- Maintenance:
  2. If we do a relabel there is nothing to prove because the only node before u' (u in the next iteration) will be the current u; the discharge(u) operation only terminates when u is not active anymore.

     For the case that we do not relabel, observe that the only way a predecessor could be active is that we push flow to it via an admissible arc. However, all admissible arc point to successors of u.

Note that the invariant means that for $u = \text{null}$ we have a preflow with a valid labelling that does not have active nodes. This means we have a maximum flow.

9.2 Relabel to Front

Lemma 65

There are at most $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ calls to discharge(u).

Every discharge operation without a relabel advances u (the current node within list L). Hence, if we have n discharge operations without a relabel we have $u = \text{null}$ and the algorithm terminates.

Therefore, the number of calls to discharge is at most $n(\#relabels + 1) = \mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

9.2 Relabel to Front

Lemma 66

The cost for all relabel-operations is only $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

A relabel-operation at a node is constant time (increasing the label and resetting $u.\mathit{current\text{-}neighbour}$). In total we have $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ relabel-operations.

9.2 Relabel to Front

Recall that a saturating push operation ($\min\{c_f(e), f(u)\} = c_f(e)$) can also be a deactivating push operation ($\min\{c_f(e), f(u)\} = f(u)$).

Lemma 67

*The cost for all saturating push-operations that are **not** deactivating is only $\mathcal{O}(mn)$.*

Note that such a push-operation leaves the node u active but makes the edge e disappear from the residual graph. Therefore the push-operation is immediately followed by an increase of the pointer $u.\mathit{current\text{-}neighbour}$.
This pointer can traverse the neighbour-list at most $\mathcal{O}(n)$ times (upper bound on number of relabels) and the neighbour-list has only $\mathit{degree}(u) + 1$ many entries ($+1$ for null-entry).

9.2 Relabel to Front

Lemma 68

The cost for all deactivating push-operations is only $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

A deactivating push-operation takes constant time and ends the current call to discharge(). Hence, there are only $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ such operations.

Theorem 69

The push-relabel algorithm with the rule relabel-to-front takes time $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

9.3 Highest Label

Algorithm 1 highest-label(G, s, t)

```
1: initialize preflow
2: foreach u ∈ V \ {s, t} do
3:     u.current-neighbour ← u.neighbour-list-head
4: while ∃ active node u do
5:     select active node u with highest label
6:     discharge(u)
```

9.3 Highest Label

Lemma 70

When using highest label the number of deactivating pushes is only $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

A push from a node on level ℓ can only "activate" nodes on levels strictly less than ℓ.

This means, after a deactivating push from u a relabel is required to make u active again.

Hence, after n deactivating pushes without an intermediate relabel there are no active nodes left.

Therefore, the number of deactivating pushes is at most $n(\#relabels + 1) = \mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

9.3 Highest Label

Since a discharge-operation is terminated by a deactivating push this gives an upper bound of $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ on the number of discharge-operations.

The cost for relabels and saturating pushes can be estimated in exactly the same way as in the case of the generic push-relabel algorithm.

Question:
How do we find the next node for a discharge operation?

9.3 Highest Label

Maintain lists L_i, $i \in \{0, \ldots, 2n\}$, where list L_i contains active nodes with label i (maintaining these lists induces only constant additional cost for every push-operation and for every relabel-operation).

After a discharge operation terminated for a node u with label k, traverse the lists $L_k, L_{k-1}, \ldots, L_0$, (in that order) until you find a non-empty list.

Unless the last (deactivating) push was to s or t the list $k-1$ must be non-empty (i.e., the search takes constant time).

9.3 Highest Label

Hence, the total time required for searching for active nodes is at most

$$\mathcal{O}(n^3) + n(\#\textit{deactivating-pushes-to-s-or-t})$$

Lemma 71

The number of deactivating pushes to s or t is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

With this lemma we get

Theorem 72

The push-relabel algorithm with the rule highest-label takes time $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

9.3 Highest Label

Proof of the Lemma.

- We only show that the number of pushes to the source is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$. A similar argument holds for the target.
- After a node v (which must have $\ell(v) = n+1$) made a deactivating push to the source there needs to be another node whose label is increased from $\leq n+1$ to $n+2$ before v can become active again.
- This happens for every push that v makes to the source. Since, every node can pass the threshold $n+2$ at most once, v can make at most n pushes to the source.
- As this holds for every node the total number of pushes to the source is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.