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Definition 49
An augmenting path with respect to flow $f$, is a path from $s$ to $t$ in the auxiliary graph $G_{f}$ that contains only edges with non-zero capacity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Algorithm } 1 \text { FordFulkerson }(G=(V, E, c)) \\
& \hline \text { 1: Initialize } f(e) \leftarrow 0 \text { for all edges. } \\
& \text { 2: while } \exists \text { augmenting path } p \text { in } G_{f} \text { do } \\
& \text { 3: } \quad \text { augment as much flow along } p \text { as possible. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Theorem 51

The value of a maximum flow is equal to the value of a minimum cut.

## Proof.

Let $f$ be a flow. The following are equivalent:

1. There exists a cut $A$ such that $\operatorname{val}(f)=\operatorname{cap}(A, V \backslash A)$.
2. Flow $f$ is a maximum flow.
3. There is no augmenting path w.r.t. $f$.
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1. $\Rightarrow 2$.

This we already showed.
2. $\Rightarrow 3$.

If there were an augmenting path, we could improve the flow.
Contradiction.
3. $\Rightarrow 1$.

- Let $f$ be a flow with no augmenting paths.
- Let $A$ be the set of vertices reachable from $s$ in the residual graph along non-zero capacity edges.
- Since there is no augmenting path we have $s \in A$ and $t \notin A$.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{val}(f) & =\sum_{e \in \operatorname{out}(A)} f(e)-\sum_{e \in \operatorname{into}(A)} f(e) \\
& =\sum_{e \in \operatorname{out}(A)} c(e) \\
& =\operatorname{cap}(A, V \backslash A)
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof.
Here the first equality uses the flow value lemma, and the second exploits the fact that the flow along incoming edges must be 0 as the residual graph does not have edges leaving $A$.
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## Invariant:

Every flow value $f(e)$ and every residual capacity $c_{f}(e)$ remains integral troughout the algorithm.

## Lemma 52

The algorithm terminates in at most $\operatorname{val}\left(f^{*}\right) \leq n C$ iterations, where $f^{*}$ denotes the maximum flow. Each iteration can be implemented in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$. This gives a total running time of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{nmC})$.

## Lemma 52

The algorithm terminates in at most $\operatorname{val}\left(f^{*}\right) \leq n C$ iterations, where $f^{*}$ denotes the maximum flow. Each iteration can be implemented in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$. This gives a total running time of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{nmC})$.

## Theorem 53

If all capacities are integers, then there exists a maximum flow for which every flow value $f(e)$ is integral.
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Problem: The running time may not be polynomial

flow value: 6
Question:
Can we tweak the algorithm so that the running time is polynomial in the input length?
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## A Pathological Input

Let $r=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1)$. Then $r^{n+2}=r^{n}-r^{n+1}$.

flow value: $r^{2}+r^{3}$

## A Pathological Input

Let $r=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1)$. Then $r^{n+2}=r^{n}-r^{n+1}$.

flow value: $r^{2}+r^{3}+r^{4}$
Running time may be infinite!!!
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How to choose augmenting paths?

- We need to find paths efficiently.
- We want to guarantee a small number of iterations.

Several possibilities:

- Choose path with maximum bottleneck capacity.
- Choose path with sufficiently large bottleneck capacity.
- Choose the shortest augmenting path.
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These two lemmas give the following theorem:

## Theorem 56

The shortest augmenting path algorithm performs at most $\mathcal{O}(m n)$ augmentations. This gives a running time of $\mathcal{O}\left(m^{2} n\right)$.

## Proof.

- We can find the shortest augmenting paths in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$ via BFS.
- $\mathcal{O}(m)$ augmentations for paths of exactly $k<n$ edges.
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In the following we assume that the residual graph $G_{f}$ does not contain zero capacity edges.

This means, we construct it in the usual sense and then delete edges of zero capacity.
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## Theorem 57

The shortest augmenting path algorithm performs at most $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{mn})$ augmentations. Each augmentation can be performed in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

## Theorem 58 (without proof)

There exist networks with $m=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ that require $\Omega(m n)$ augmentations, when we restrict ourselves to only augment along shortest augmenting paths.

## Note:

There always exists a set of $m$ augmentations that gives a maximum flow (why?).
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However, we can improve the running time to $\mathcal{O}\left(m n^{2}\right)$ by improving the running time for finding an augmenting path (currently we assume $\mathcal{O}(m)$ per augmentation for this).
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With each augmentation some edges are deleted from $M$.
When $M$ does not contain an $s$ - $t$ path anymore the distance between $s$ and $t$ strictly increases.
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Perform a DFS search to find a path from $s$ to $t$ using edges from $M$.

Either you find $t$ after at most $n$ steps, or you end at a node $v$ that does not have any outgoing edges.

You can delete incoming edges of $v$ from $M$.

## Analysis

## Analysis

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between $s$ and $t$ strictly increases.

## Analysis

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between $s$ and $t$ strictly increases.

Initializing $M$ for the phase takes time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

## Analysis

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between $s$ and $t$ strictly increases.

Initializing $M$ for the phase takes time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.
The total cost for searching for augmenting paths during a phase is at most $\mathcal{O}(m n)$, since every search (successful (i.e., reaching $t$ ) or unsuccessful) decreases the number of edges in $M$ and takes time $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

## Analysis

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between $s$ and $t$ strictly increases.

Initializing $M$ for the phase takes time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.
The total cost for searching for augmenting paths during a phase is at most $\mathcal{O}(m n)$, since every search (successful (i.e., reaching $t$ ) or unsuccessful) decreases the number of edges in $M$ and takes time $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

The total cost for performing an augmentation during a phase is only $\mathcal{O}(n)$. For every edge in the augmenting path one has to update the residual graph $G_{f}$ and has to check whether the edge is still in $M$ for the next search.

## Analysis

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between $s$ and $t$ strictly increases.

Initializing $M$ for the phase takes time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.
The total cost for searching for augmenting paths during a phase is at most $\mathcal{O}(m n)$, since every search (successful (i.e., reaching $t$ ) or unsuccessful) decreases the number of edges in $M$ and takes time $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

The total cost for performing an augmentation during a phase is only $\mathcal{O}(n)$. For every edge in the augmenting path one has to update the residual graph $G_{f}$ and has to check whether the edge is still in $M$ for the next search.

There are at most $n$ phases. Hence, total cost is $\mathcal{O}\left(m n^{2}\right)$.
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How to choose augmenting paths?

- We need to find paths efficiently.
- We want to guarantee a small number of iterations.

Several possibilities:

- Choose path with maximum bottleneck capacity.
- Choose path with sufficiently large bottleneck capacity.
- Choose the shortest augmenting path.
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## Intuition:

- Choosing a path with the highest bottleneck increases the flow as much as possible in a single step.
- Don't worry about finding the exact bottleneck.
- Maintain scaling parameter $\Delta$.
- $G_{f}(\Delta)$ is a sub-graph of the residual graph $G_{f}$ that contains only edges with capacity at least $\Delta$.



## Capacity Scaling

```
Algorithm 1 maxflow \((G, s, t, c)\)
    1: foreach \(e \in E\) do \(f_{e} \leftarrow 0\);
2: \(\Delta \leftarrow 2^{\left\lceil\log _{2} C 1\right.}\)
3: while \(\Delta \geq 1\) do
4: \(\quad G_{f}(\Delta) \leftarrow \Delta\)-residual graph
    while there is augmenting path \(P\) in \(G_{f}(\Delta)\) do
        \(f \leftarrow \operatorname{augment}(f, c, P)\)
        update \(\left(G_{f}(\Delta)\right)\)
    8: \(\quad \Delta \leftarrow \Delta / 2\)
    9: return \(f\)
```
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## Capacity Scaling

## Assumption:

All capacities are integers between 1 and $C$.

## Invariant:

All flows and capacities are/remain integral throughout the algorithm.

Correctness:
The algorithm computes a maxflow:

- because of integrality we have $G_{f}(1)=G_{f}$
- therefore after the last phase there are no augmenting paths anymore
- this means we have a maximum flow.
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## Capacity Scaling

## Lemma 59

There are $\lceil\log C\rceil+1$ iterations over $\Delta$.
Proof: obvious.

## Lemma 60

Let $f$ be the flow at the end of a $\Delta$-phase. Then the maximum flow is smaller than $\operatorname{val}(f)+m \Delta$.
Proof: less obvious, but simple:

- There must exist an $s-t$ cut in $G_{f}(\Delta)$ of zero capacity.
- In $G_{f}$ this cut can have capacity at most $m \Delta$.
- This gives me an upper bound on the flow that I can still add.
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## Lemma 61

There are at most $2 m$ augmentations per scaling-phase.

## Proof:

- Let $f$ be the flow at the end of the previous phase.
- $\operatorname{val}\left(f^{*}\right) \leq \operatorname{val}(f)+2 m \Delta$
- Each augmentation increases flow by $\Delta$.


## Theorem 62

We need $\mathcal{O}(m \log C)$ augmentations. The algorithm can be implemented in time $\mathcal{O}\left(m^{2} \log C\right)$.

