## Matching

- Input: undirected graph $G=(V, E)$.
- $M \subseteq E$ is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in $M$.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality



## Bipartite Matching

- Input: undirected, bipartite graph $G=(L \uplus R, E)$.
- $M \subseteq E$ is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in $M$.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality
L



## Maxflow Formulation

- Input: undirected, bipartite graph $G=\left(L \uplus R \uplus\{s, t\}, E^{\prime}\right)$.
- Direct all edges from $L$ to $R$.
- Add source $s$ and connect it to all nodes on the left.
- Add $t$ and connect all nodes on the right to $t$.
- All edges have unit capacity.



## Proof

## Max cardinality matching in $G \leq$ value of maxflow in $G^{\prime}$

- Given a maximum matching $M$ of cardinality $k$.
- Consider flow $f$ that sends one unit along each of $k$ paths.
- $f$ is a flow and has cardinality $k$.
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## Proof

Max cardinality matching in $G \geq$ value of maxflow in $G^{\prime}$

- Let $f$ be a maxflow in $G^{\prime}$ of value $k$
- Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow k$ integral; we can assume $f$ is $0 / 1$.
- Consider $M=$ set of edges from $L$ to $R$ with $f(e)=1$.
- Each node in $L$ and $R$ participates in at most one edge in $M$.
- $|M|=k$, as the flow must use at least $k$ middle edges.

12.1 Matching


### 12.1 Matching

## Which flow algorithm to use?

- Generic augmenting path: $\mathcal{O}\left(m \operatorname{val}\left(f^{*}\right)\right)=\mathcal{O}(m n)$.
- Capacity scaling: $\mathcal{O}\left(m^{2} \log C\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(m^{2}\right)$.
- Shortest augmenting path: $\mathcal{O}\left(m n^{2}\right)$.

For unit capacity simple graphs shortest augmenting path can be implemented in time $\mathcal{O}(m \sqrt{n})$.
A graph is a unit capacity simple graph if
$\rightarrow$ every edge has capacity 1
a node has either at most one leaving edge or at most one
entering edge

| Harald Räcke | 12.1 Matching | 14. Jan. 2024 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $463 / 473$ |  |  |

## Baseball Elimination

| team | wins | losses | remaining games |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{A t l}$ | $\boldsymbol{P h i}$ | $\boldsymbol{N Y}$ | Mon |
| Atlanta | 83 | 71 | - | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| Philadelphia | 80 | 79 | 1 | - | 0 | 2 |
| New York | 78 | 78 | 6 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Montreal | 77 | 82 | 1 | 2 | 0 | - |

## Which team can end the season with most wins?

- Montreal is eliminated, since even after winning all remaining games there are only 80 wins.
- But also Philadelphia is eliminated. Why?


## Baseball Elimination

## Formal definition of the problem:

- Given a set $S$ of teams, and one specific team $z \in S$.
- Team $x$ has already won $w_{x}$ games.
- Team $x$ still has to play team $y, r_{x y}$ times.
- Does team $z$ still have a chance to finish with the most number of wins.


## 10 Harald Räck

## Certificate of Elimination

Let $T \subseteq S$ be a subset of teams. Define


If $\frac{w(T)+r(T)}{|T|}>M$ then one of the teams in $T$ will have more than $M$ wins in the end. A team that can win at most $M$ games is therefore eliminated.

## Baseball Elimination

Flow network for $z=3 . M$ is number of wins Team 3 can still obtain.


Idea. Distribute the results of remaining games in such a way that no team gets too many wins.
$\square$ Harald Räcke 12.2 Baseball Elimination

Theorem 63
A team $z$ is eliminated if and only if the flow network for $z$ does not allow a flow of value $\sum_{i j \in S \backslash\{z\}, i<j} r_{i j}$.

## Proof ( $\Leftarrow$ )

- Consider the mincut $A$ in the flow network. Let $T$ be the set of team-nodes in $A$.
- If for node $x-y$ not both team-nodes $x$ and $y$ are in $T$, then $x-y \notin A$ as otw. the cut would cut an infinite capacity edge.
- We don't find a flow that saturates all source edges:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(S \backslash\{z\}) & >\operatorname{cap}(A, V \backslash A) \\
& \geq \sum_{i<j: i \notin T \vee j \notin T} r_{i j}+\sum_{i \in T}\left(M-w_{i}\right) \\
& \geq r(S \backslash\{z\})-r(T)+|T| M-w(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

- This gives $M<(w(T)+r(T)) /|T|$, i.e., $z$ is eliminated.


## Baseball Elimination

## Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ )

- Suppose we have a flow that saturates all source edges.
- We can assume that this flow is integral.
- For every pairing $x-y$ it defines how many games team $x$ and team $y$ should win.
- The flow leaving the team-node $x$ can be interpreted as the additional number of wins that team $x$ will obtain.
- This is less than $M-w_{\chi}$ because of capacity constraints.
- Hence, we found a set of results for the remaining games, such that no team obtains more than $M$ wins in total.
- Hence, team $z$ is not eliminated.


## Project Selection

## The prerequisite graph:

- $\{x, a, z\}$ is a feasible subset.
- $\{x, a\}$ is infeasible.



## Theorem 64

$A$ is a mincut if $A \backslash\{s\}$ is the optimal set of projects.

## Proof.

- $A$ is feasible because of capacity infinity edges.
- $\operatorname{cap}(A, V \backslash A)=\sum_{v} p_{v}+\sum_{v \in A^{\prime} \cdot p_{v}<0}\left(-p_{v}\right)$

$\square$


