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## How do you measure?

- Implementing and testing on representative inputs
- How do you choose your inputs?
- May be very time-consuming.
- Very reliable results if done correctly.
- Results only hold for a specific machine and for a specific set of inputs.
- Theoretical analysis in a specific model of computation.
- Gives asymptotic bounds like "this algorithm always runs in time $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ ".
- Typically focuses on the worst case.
- Can give lower bounds like "any comparison-based sorting algorithm needs at least $\Omega(n \log n)$ comparisons in the worst case".
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## Example 1

Suppose $n$ numbers from the interval $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ have to be sorted. In this case we usually say that the input length is $n$ instead of e.g. $n \log N$, which would be the number of bits required to encode the input.
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## Model of Computation

How to measure performance

1. Calculate running time and storage space etc. on a simplified, idealized model of computation, e.g. Random Access Machine (RAM), Turing Machine (TM), ...
2. Calculate number of certain basic operations: comparisons, multiplications, harddisc accesses, ...

Version 2. is often easier, but focusing on one type of operation makes it more difficult to obtain meaningful results.
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## Turing Machine

- Very simple model of computation.
- Only the "current" memory location can be altered.
- Very good model for discussing computabiliy, or polynomial vs. exponential time.
- Some simple problems like recognizing whether input is of the form $x x$, where $x$ is a string, have quadratic lower bound.
$\Rightarrow$ Not a good model for developing efficient algorithms.
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## Random Access Machine (RAM)

- Input tape and output tape (sequences of zeros and ones; unbounded length).
- Memory unit: infinite but countable number of registers $R[0], R[1], R[2], \ldots$.
- Registers hold integers.
- Indirect addressing.

[^1]
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- input operations (input tape $\rightarrow R[i]$ )
- READ $i$
- output operations ( $R[i] \rightarrow$ output tape)
- WRITE $i$
- register-register transfers
- $R[j]:=R[i]$
- $R[j]:=4$
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## Random Access Machine (RAM)

## Operations

- branching (including loops) based on comparisons
- jump $x$ jumps to position $x$ in the program; sets instruction counter to $x$; reads the next operation to perform from register $R[x]$
- jumpz $x R[i]$
jump to $x$ if $R[i]=0$
if not the instruction counter is increased by 1 ;
- jumpi $i$
jump to $R[i]$ (indirect jump);
- arithmetic instructions: +, -, $\times, /$
- $R[i]:=R[j]+R[k]$;
$R[i]:=-R[k] ;$

T The jump-directives are very close to the ' jump-instructions contained in the as-'
' sembler language of real machines.
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## Model of Computation

- uniform cost model

Every operation takes time 1.

- logarithmic cost model

The cost depends on the content of memory cells:

- The time for a step is equal to the largest operand involved;
- The storage space of a register is equal to the length (in bits) of the largest value ever stored in it.

Bounded word RAM model: cost is uniform but the largest value stored in a register may not exceed $2^{w}$, where usually $w=\log _{2} n$.

```
TThe latter model is quite realistic as the word-size of 
, a standard computer that handles a problem of size n ;
' must be at least 烈五n as otherwise the computer could '
 either not store the problem instance or not address all 
its memory.
```
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& \text { Algorithm } 1 \text { RepeatedSquaring }(n) \\
& \hline \text { 1: } r \leftarrow 2 ; \\
& \text { 2: for } i=1 \rightarrow n \text { do } \\
& \text { 3: } \quad r \leftarrow r^{2} \\
& \text { 4: return } r
\end{aligned}
$$

- running time (for Line 3):
- uniform model: $n$ steps
- logarithmic model:

$$
2+3+5+\cdots+\left(1+2^{n}\right)=2^{n+1}-1+n=\Theta\left(2^{n}\right)
$$

- space requirement:
- uniform model: $\mathcal{O}(1)$


## 4 Modelling Issues

## Example 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Algorithm } 1 \text { RepeatedSquaring }(n) \\
& \hline \text { 1: } r \leftarrow 2 ; \\
& \text { 2: for } i=1 \rightarrow n \text { do } \\
& \text { 3: } \quad r \leftarrow r^{2} \\
& \text { 4: return } r
\end{aligned}
$$

- running time (for Line 3):
- uniform model: $n$ steps
- logarithmic model:

$$
2+3+5+\cdots+\left(1+2^{n}\right)=2^{n+1}-1+n=\Theta\left(2^{n}\right)
$$

- space requirement:
- uniform model: $\mathcal{O}(1)$
- logarithmic model: $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{n}\right)$

There are different types of complexity bounds:

- best-case complexity:

$$
C_{\mathrm{bc}}(n):=\min \{C(x)| | x \mid=n\}
$$

Usually easy to analyze, but not very meaningful.

There are different types of complexity bounds:

- best-case complexity:

$$
C_{\mathrm{bc}}(n):=\min \{C(x)| | x \mid=n\}
$$

Usually easy to analyze, but not very meaningful.

- worst-case complexity:

$$
C_{\mathrm{Wc}}(n):=\max \{C(x)| | x \mid=n\}
$$

Usually moderately easy to analyze; sometimes too pessimistic.

There are different types of complexity bounds:

- best-case complexity:

$$
C_{\mathrm{bc}}(n):=\min \{C(x)| | x \mid=n\}
$$

Usually easy to analyze, but not very meaningful.

- worst-case complexity:

$$
C_{\mathrm{Wc}}(n):=\max \{C(x)| | x \mid=n\}
$$

Usually moderately easy to analyze; sometimes too pessimistic.

- average case complexity:

$$
C_{\operatorname{avg}}(n):=\frac{1}{\left|I_{n}\right|} \sum_{|x|=n} C(x)
$$

| $C(x)$ | cost of instance <br> $x$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\|x\|$input length of <br> instance $x$ |  |
| In set of instances <br> of length $n$ <br>  - |  |

There are different types of complexity bounds:

- best-case complexity:

$$
C_{\mathrm{bc}}(n):=\min \{C(x)| | x \mid=n\}
$$

Usually easy to analyze, but not very meaningful.

- worst-case complexity:

$$
C_{\mathrm{wc}}(n):=\max \{C(x)| | x \mid=n\}
$$

Usually moderately easy to analyze; sometimes too pessimistic.

- average case complexity:

$$
C_{\mathrm{avg}}(n):=\frac{1}{\left|I_{n}\right|} \sum_{|x|=n} C(x)
$$

more general: probability measure $\mu$

$$
C_{\mathrm{avg}}(n):=\sum_{x \in I_{n}} \mu(x) \cdot C(x)
$$

$C(x)$| cost of instance |
| :--- |
| $x$ |


$|x|$| input length of |
| :--- |
| instance $x$ |


| set of instances |
| :--- | :--- |
| of length $n$ |

$-l_{-}$

There are different types of complexity bounds:

- amortized complexity:

The average cost of data structure operations over a worst case sequence of operations.

There are different types of complexity bounds:

- amortized complexity:

The average cost of data structure operations over a worst case sequence of operations.

- randomized complexity:

The algorithm may use random bits. Expected running time (over all possible choices of random bits) for a fixed input $x$. Then take the worst-case over all $x$ with $|x|=n$.
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