### 13.2 Relabel to Front

```
Algorithm 1 relabel-to-front \((G, s, t)\)
    initialize preflow
    initialize node list \(L\) containing \(V \backslash\{s, t\}\) in any order
    foreach \(u \in V \backslash\{s, t\}\) do
    u.current-neighbour \(\leftarrow\) u.neighbour-list-head
    \(u \leftarrow\) L.head
    while \(u \neq\) null do
        old-height \(\leftarrow \ell(u)\)
        discharge ( \(u\) )
        if \(\ell(u)>\) old-height then // relabel happened
            move \(u\) to the front of \(L\)
        \(u \leftarrow u . n e x t\)
```


## 101 Harald Räck

## Proof:

- Initialization:

1. In the beginning $s$ has label $n \geq 2$, and all other nodes have label 0 . Hence, no edge is admissible, which means that any ordering $L$ is permitted.
2. We start with $u$ being the head of the list; hence no node before $u$ can be active

- Maintenance:

1. Pushes do no create any new admissible edges. Therefore, if discharge() does not relabel $u, L$ is still topologically sorted.

- After relabeling, $u$ cannot have admissible incoming edges as such an edge $(x, u)$ would have had a difference $\ell(x)-\ell(u) \geq 2$ before the re-labeling (such edges do not exist in the residual graph).
Hence, moving $u$ to the front does not violate the sorting property for any edge; however it fixes this property for all admissible edges leaving $u$ that were generated by the relabeling.


### 13.2 Relabel to Front

Lemma 76 (Invariant)
In Line 6 of the relabel-to-front algorithm the following invariant holds.

1. The sequence $L$ is topologically sorted w.r.t. the set of admissible edges; this means for an admissible edge ( $x, y$ ) the node $x$ appears before $y$ in sequence $L$.
2. No node before $u$ in the list $L$ is active.

| Harald Räcke | 13.2 Relabel to Front | 14. Jan. 2024 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $496 / 502$ |  |  |
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## Proof:

- Maintenance:

2. If we do a relabel there is nothing to prove because the only node before $u^{\prime}$ ( $u$ in the next iteration) will be the current $u$; the discharge $(u)$ operation only terminates when $u$ is not active anymore.

For the case that we do not relabel, observe that the only way a predecessor could be active is that we push flow to it via an admissible arc. However, all admissible arc point to successors of $u$.

Note that the invariant means that for $u=$ null we have a preflow with a valid labelling that does not have active nodes. This means we have a maximum flow.

| $T \\|$ Harald Räcke | 13.2 Relabel to Front |
| ---: | :--- |
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## Lemma 77

There are at most $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ calls to discharge( $u$ ).

Every discharge operation without a relabel advances $u$ (the current node within list $L$ ). Hence, if we have $n$ discharge operations without a relabel we have $u=$ null and the algorithm terminates.

Therefore, the number of calls to discharge is at most $n(\#$ relabels +1$)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$.
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### 13.2 Relabel to Front

Recall that a saturating push operation
$\left(\min \left\{c_{f}(e), f(u)\right\}=c_{f}(e)\right)$ can also be a deactivating push operation $\left(\min \left\{c_{f}(e), f(u)\right\}=f(u)\right)$.

Lemma 79
The cost for all saturating push-operations that are not deactivating is only $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{mn})$.

Note that such a push-operation leaves the node $u$ active but makes the edge $e$ disappear from the residual graph. Therefore the push-operation is immediately followed by an increase of the pointer u.current-neighbour.
This pointer can traverse the neighbour-list at most $\mathcal{O}(n)$ times (upper bound on number of relabels) and the neighbour-list has only degree ( $u$ ) +1 many entries ( +1 for null-entry).

Lemma 80
The cost for all deactivating push-operations is only $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$.

A deactivating push-operation takes constant time and ends the current call to discharge(). Hence, there are only $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ such operations.

Theorem 81
The push-relabel algorithm with the rule relabel-to-front takes time $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$.
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Lemma 78
The cost for all relabel-operations is only $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$.

A relabel-operation at a node is constant time (increasing the label and resetting $u$.current-neighbour). In total we have $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ relabel-operations.

| $7 \square$ Harald Räcke | 13.2 Relabel to Front | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 14. Jan. } 2024 \\ 502 / 502 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

