#### **Primal Relaxation:**

min		$\sum_{i=1}^k w_i x_i$		
s.t.	$\forall u \in U$	$\sum_{i:u\in S_i} x_i$	$\geq$	1
	$\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$	$x_i$	$\geq$	0

**Dual Formulation:** 

 $\begin{array}{ll} \max & \sum_{u \in U} \mathcal{Y}_{u} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \quad \sum_{u:u \in S_{i}} \mathcal{Y}_{u} \leq w_{i} \\ \mathcal{Y}_{u} \geq 0 \end{array}$ 



18. Jun. 2023 47/80

#### **Primal Relaxation:**



#### **Dual Formulation:**

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \max & & \sum_{u \in U} \mathcal{Y}_{u} \\ \text{s.t.} & \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} & \sum_{u: u \in S_{i}} \mathcal{Y}_{u} & \leq w_{i} \\ & & \mathcal{Y}_{u} & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$



#### Algorithm:

Start with y = 0 (feasible dual solution).
 Start with x = 0 (integral primal solution that may be infeasible).

#### While x not feasible

- Identify an elements: that is not covered in current primal integral solution.
- Increase dual variable or until a dual constraint becomes tight (maybe increase by 0).
- If this is the constraint for set 5, set 5, set (add this set to your solution).



#### Algorithm:

Start with y = 0 (feasible dual solution).
 Start with x = 0 (integral primal solution that may be infeasible).

- While x not feasible
  - Identify an element e that is not covered in current primal integral solution.
  - Increase dual variable y<sub>e</sub> until a dual constraint becomes tight (maybe increase by 0!).
  - If this is the constraint for set S<sub>j</sub> set x<sub>j</sub> = 1 (add this set to your solution).



#### Algorithm:

- Start with y = 0 (feasible dual solution).
   Start with x = 0 (integral primal solution that may be infeasible).
- While x not feasible
  - Identify an element *e* that is not covered in current primal integral solution.
  - Increase dual variable y<sub>e</sub> until a dual constraint becomes tight (maybe increase by 0!).
  - If this is the constraint for set S<sub>j</sub> set x<sub>j</sub> = 1 (add this set to your solution).



#### Algorithm:

- Start with y = 0 (feasible dual solution).
   Start with x = 0 (integral primal solution that may be infeasible).
- While x not feasible
  - Identify an element *e* that is not covered in current primal integral solution.
  - Increase dual variable y<sub>e</sub> until a dual constraint becomes tight (maybe increase by 0!).
  - If this is the constraint for set S<sub>j</sub> set x<sub>j</sub> = 1 (add this set to your solution).



#### Algorithm:

- Start with y = 0 (feasible dual solution).
   Start with x = 0 (integral primal solution that may be infeasible).
- While x not feasible
  - Identify an element *e* that is not covered in current primal integral solution.
  - Increase dual variable y<sub>e</sub> until a dual constraint becomes tight (maybe increase by 0!).
  - If this is the constraint for set S<sub>j</sub> set x<sub>j</sub> = 1 (add this set to your solution).



Analysis:



Analysis:

For every set  $S_j$  with  $x_j = 1$  we have

$$\sum_{e \in S_j} y_e = w_j$$



Analysis:

For every set  $S_j$  with  $x_j = 1$  we have

$$\sum_{e \in S_j} y_e = w_j$$

Hence our cost is



Analysis:

For every set  $S_j$  with  $x_j = 1$  we have

$$\sum_{e \in S_j} y_e = w_j$$

Hence our cost is

 $\sum_{j} w_j x_j$ 



Analysis:

For every set  $S_j$  with  $x_j = 1$  we have

$$\sum_{e \in S_j} y_e = w_j$$

Hence our cost is

$$\sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j} = \sum_{j} \sum_{e \in S_{j}} y_{e}$$



16.1 Primal Dual Revisited

18. Jun. 2023 49/80

Analysis:

For every set  $S_j$  with  $x_j = 1$  we have

$$\sum_{e \in S_j} y_e = w_j$$

Hence our cost is

$$\sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j} = \sum_{j} \sum_{e \in S_{j}} y_{e} = \sum_{e} |\{j : e \in S_{j}\}| \cdot y_{e}$$



16.1 Primal Dual Revisited

18. Jun. 2023 49/80

Analysis:

For every set  $S_j$  with  $x_j = 1$  we have

$$\sum_{e \in S_j} y_e = w_j$$

Hence our cost is

$$\sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j} = \sum_{j} \sum_{e \in S_{j}} y_{e} = \sum_{e} |\{j : e \in S_{j}\}| \cdot y_{e}$$
$$\leq f \cdot \sum_{e} y_{e} \leq f \cdot \text{OPT}$$



16.1 Primal Dual Revisited

18. Jun. 2023 49/80 Note that the constructed pair of primal and dual solution fulfills primal slackness conditions.



Note that the constructed pair of primal and dual solution fulfills primal slackness conditions.

This means

$$x_j > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{e \in S_j} y_e = w_j$$



Note that the constructed pair of primal and dual solution fulfills primal slackness conditions.

This means

$$x_j > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{e \in S_j} y_e = w_j$$

If we would also fulfill dual slackness conditions

$$y_e > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{j:e \in S_j} x_j = 1$$

then the solution would be optimal!!!



# We don't fulfill these constraint but we fulfill an approximate version:



We don't fulfill these constraint but we fulfill an approximate version:

$$y_e > 0 \Rightarrow 1 \le \sum_{j:e \in S_j} x_j \le f$$



We don't fulfill these constraint but we fulfill an approximate version:

$$y_e > 0 \Rightarrow 1 \le \sum_{j:e \in S_j} x_j \le f$$

This is sufficient to show that the solution is an f-approximation.



Suppose we have a primal/dual pair



Suppose we have a primal/dual pair

and solutions that fulfill approximate slackness conditions:

$$x_j > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_i a_{ij} y_i \ge \frac{1}{\alpha} c_j$$
$$y_i > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_j a_{ij} x_j \le \beta b_i$$



16.1 Primal Dual Revisited

18. Jun. 2023 52/80













$$\underbrace{\sum_{j} c_{j} x_{j}}_{j} \leq \alpha \sum_{j} \left( \sum_{i} a_{ij} y_{i} \right) x_{j}$$

$$\underbrace{\uparrow}_{\text{primal cost}}$$



18. Jun. 2023 53/80

$$\sum_{j} c_{j} x_{j} \leq \alpha \sum_{j} \left( \sum_{i} a_{ij} y_{i} \right) x_{j}$$

$$\uparrow$$
primal cost
$$\neq \alpha \sum_{i} \left( \sum_{j} a_{ij} x_{j} \right) y_{i}$$



$$\frac{\sum_{j} c_{j} x_{j}}{\uparrow} \leq \alpha \sum_{j} \left( \sum_{i} a_{ij} y_{i} \right) x_{j}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{primal cost}} \alpha \sum_{i} \left( \sum_{j} a_{ij} x_{j} \right) y_{i}$$

$$\leq \alpha \beta \cdot \sum_{i} b_{i} y_{i}$$



$$\sum_{j} c_{j} x_{j} \leq \alpha \sum_{j} \left( \sum_{i} a_{ij} y_{i} \right) x_{j}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{primal cost}} \alpha \sum_{i} \left( \sum_{j} a_{ij} x_{j} \right) y_{i}$$

$$\leq \alpha \beta \cdot \sum_{i} b_{i} y_{i}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{dual objective}}$$



18. Jun. 2023 53/80

# Feedback Vertex Set for Undirected Graphs

• Given a graph G = (V, E) and non-negative weights  $w_v \ge 0$  for vertex  $v \in V$ .



## Feedback Vertex Set for Undirected Graphs

- Given a graph G = (V, E) and non-negative weights  $w_v \ge 0$  for vertex  $v \in V$ .
- Choose a minimum cost subset of vertices s.t. every cycle contains at least one vertex.



We can encode this as an instance of Set Cover

• Each vertex can be viewed as a set that contains some cycles.



16.2 Feedback Vertex Set for Undirected Graphs

We can encode this as an instance of Set Cover

- Each vertex can be viewed as a set that contains some cycles.
- However, this encoding gives a Set Cover instance of non-polynomial size.



We can encode this as an instance of Set Cover

- Each vertex can be viewed as a set that contains some cycles.
- However, this encoding gives a Set Cover instance of non-polynomial size.
- The O(log n)-approximation for Set Cover does not help us to get a good solution.



# Let $\mathbb C$ denote the set of all cycles (where a cycle is identified by its set of vertices)



16.2 Feedback Vertex Set for Undirected Graphs

18. Jun. 2023 56/80 Let  $\mathbb C$  denote the set of all cycles (where a cycle is identified by its set of vertices)

**Primal Relaxation:** 

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \min & & \sum_{v} w_{v} x_{v} \\ \text{s.t.} & \forall C \in \mathfrak{C} & \sum_{v \in C} x_{v} \geq 1 \\ & \forall v & x_{v} \geq 0 \end{array}$$

**Dual Formulation:** 



16.2 Feedback Vertex Set for Undirected Graphs

18. Jun. 2023 56/80
Start with x = 0 and y = 0



- Start with x = 0 and y = 0
- While there is a cycle C that is not covered (does not contain a chosen vertex).



- Start with x = 0 and y = 0
- While there is a cycle C that is not covered (does not contain a chosen vertex).
  - Increase y<sub>C</sub> until dual constraint for some vertex v becomes tight.



- Start with x = 0 and y = 0
- While there is a cycle C that is not covered (does not contain a chosen vertex).
  - Increase y<sub>C</sub> until dual constraint for some vertex v becomes tight.
  - set  $x_v = 1$ .



 $\sum_{v} w_{v} x_{v}$ 



16.2 Feedback Vertex Set for Undirected Graphs

$$\sum_{v} w_{v} x_{v} = \sum_{v} \sum_{C: v \in C} y_{C} x_{v}$$



16.2 Feedback Vertex Set for Undirected Graphs

$$\sum_{v} w_{v} x_{v} = \sum_{v} \sum_{C:v \in C} y_{C} x_{v}$$
$$= \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{C:v \in C} y_{C}$$

## where S is the set of vertices we choose.



16.2 Feedback Vertex Set for Undirected Graphs

$$\sum_{v} w_{v} x_{v} = \sum_{v} \sum_{C:v \in C} y_{C} x_{v}$$
$$= \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{C:v \in C} y_{C}$$
$$= \sum_{C} |S \cap C| \cdot y_{C}$$

where S is the set of vertices we choose.



16.2 Feedback Vertex Set for Undirected Graphs

$$\sum_{v} w_{v} x_{v} = \sum_{v} \sum_{C:v \in C} y_{C} x_{v}$$
$$= \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{C:v \in C} y_{C}$$
$$= \sum_{C} |S \cap C| \cdot y_{C}$$

where S is the set of vertices we choose.

If every cycle is short we get a good approximation ratio, but this is unrealistic.



## Algorithm 1 FeedbackVertexSet

- 1:  $\mathcal{Y} \leftarrow 0$
- 2: *x* ← 0
- 3: while exists cycle C in G do
- 4: increase  $y_C$  until there is  $v \in C$  s.t.  $\sum_{C:v \in C} y_C = w_v$

5: 
$$x_v = 1$$

- 6: remove v from G
- 7: repeatedly remove vertices of degree 1 from G



#### Idea:

Always choose a short cycle that is not covered. If we always find a cycle of length at most  $\alpha$  we get an  $\alpha$ -approximation.



#### Idea:

Always choose a short cycle that is not covered. If we always find a cycle of length at most  $\alpha$  we get an  $\alpha$ -approximation.

#### **Observation:**

For any path P of vertices of degree 2 in G the algorithm chooses at most one vertex from P.



## **Observation:**

If we always choose a cycle for which the number of vertices of degree at least 3 is at most  $\alpha$  we get a  $2\alpha$ -approximation.



## **Observation:**

If we always choose a cycle for which the number of vertices of degree at least 3 is at most  $\alpha$  we get a  $2\alpha$ -approximation.

## Theorem 3

In any graph with no vertices of degree 1, there always exists a cycle that has at most  $O(\log n)$  vertices of degree 3 or more. We can find such a cycle in linear time.

This means we have

 $\mathcal{Y}_C > 0 \Rightarrow |S \cap C| \leq \mathcal{O}(\log n)$  .



Given a graph G = (V, E) with two nodes  $s, t \in V$  and edge-weights  $c : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$  find a shortest path between s and tw.r.t. edge-weights c.



Here  $\delta(S)$  denotes the set of edges with exactly one end-point in S, and  $S = \{S \subseteq V : s \in S, t \notin S\}$ .



Given a graph G = (V, E) with two nodes  $s, t \in V$  and edge-weights  $c : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$  find a shortest path between s and tw.r.t. edge-weights c.



Here  $\delta(S)$  denotes the set of edges with exactly one end-point in S, and  $S = \{S \subseteq V : s \in S, t \notin S\}$ .



### The Dual:

max		$\sum_{S} \gamma_{S}$		
s.t.	$\forall e \in E$	$\sum_{S:e\in\delta(S)} \mathcal{Y}_S$	$\leq$	c(e)
	$\forall S \in S$	$\mathcal{Y}S$	$\geq$	0

Here  $\delta(S)$  denotes the set of edges with exactly one end-point in S, and  $S = \{S \subseteq V : s \in S, t \notin S\}$ .



16.3 Primal Dual for Shortest Path

The Dual:

Here  $\delta(S)$  denotes the set of edges with exactly one end-point in S, and  $S = \{S \subseteq V : s \in S, t \notin S\}$ .



16.3 Primal Dual for Shortest Path

We can interpret the value  $y_S$  as the width of a moat surounding the set S.

Each set can have its own moat but all moats must be disjoint.

An edge cannot be shorter than all the moats that it has to cross.



## We can interpret the value $y_S$ as the width of a moat surounding the set S.

Each set can have its own moat but all moats must be disjoint.

An edge cannot be shorter than all the moats that it has to cross.



16.3 Primal Dual for Shortest Path

We can interpret the value  $y_S$  as the width of a moat surounding the set S.

Each set can have its own moat but all moats must be disjoint.

An edge cannot be shorter than all the moats that it has to cross.



We can interpret the value  $y_S$  as the width of a moat surounding the set S.

Each set can have its own moat but all moats must be disjoint.

An edge cannot be shorter than all the moats that it has to cross.



## Algorithm 1 PrimalDualShortestPath

- 1:  $\gamma \leftarrow 0$
- 2:  $F \leftarrow \emptyset$
- 3: while there is no s-t path in (V, F) do
- 4: Let *C* be the connected component of (*V*,*F*) containing *s*
- 5: Increase  $\gamma_C$  until there is an edge  $e' \in \delta(C)$  such that  $\sum_{S:e' \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = c(e')$ .

$$F \leftarrow F \cup \{e'\}$$

7: Let P be an s-t path in (V, F)

8: return P



## **Lemma 4** At each point in time the set F forms a tree.

Proof:

- In each iteration we take the current connected component from OPEP that contains > (call this component C) and add some edge from OPEP to P.
- Since, at most one end-point of the new edge is in 12 the edge cannot close a cycle.



#### Lemma 4

At each point in time the set F forms a tree.

## Proof:

- ► In each iteration we take the current connected component from (V, F) that contains *s* (call this component *C*) and add some edge from  $\delta(C)$  to *F*.
- Since, at most one end-point of the new edge is in C the edge cannot close a cycle.



#### Lemma 4

At each point in time the set F forms a tree.

## Proof:

- ► In each iteration we take the current connected component from (V, F) that contains *s* (call this component *C*) and add some edge from  $\delta(C)$  to *F*.
- Since, at most one end-point of the new edge is in C the edge cannot close a cycle.







$$\sum_{e \in P} c(e) = \sum_{e \in P} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \mathcal{Y}_S$$



$$\sum_{e \in P} c(e) = \sum_{e \in P} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S$$
$$= \sum_{S: s \in S, t \notin S} |P \cap \delta(S)| \cdot \gamma_S .$$



$$\sum_{e \in P} c(e) = \sum_{e \in P} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} y_S$$
$$= \sum_{S: s \in S, t \notin S} |P \cap \delta(S)| \cdot y_S .$$

If we can show that  $y_S > 0$  implies  $|P \cap \delta(S)| = 1$  gives

$$\sum_{e \in P} c(e) = \sum_{S} y_{S} \le \text{OPT}$$

by weak duality.



$$\sum_{e \in P} c(e) = \sum_{e \in P} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} y_S$$
$$= \sum_{S: s \in S, t \notin S} |P \cap \delta(S)| \cdot y_S .$$

If we can show that  $y_S > 0$  implies  $|P \cap \delta(S)| = 1$  gives

$$\sum_{e \in P} c(e) = \sum_{S} y_{S} \le \text{OPT}$$

by weak duality.

Hence, we find a shortest path.



When we increased  $y_S$ , S was a connected component of the set of edges F' that we had chosen till this point.

 $F' \cup P'$  contains a cycle. Hence, also the final set of edges contains a cycle.



When we increased  $y_S$ , S was a connected component of the set of edges F' that we had chosen till this point.

 $F' \cup P'$  contains a cycle. Hence, also the final set of edges contains a cycle.



When we increased  $y_S$ , S was a connected component of the set of edges F' that we had chosen till this point.

 $F' \cup P'$  contains a cycle. Hence, also the final set of edges contains a cycle.



When we increased  $y_S$ , S was a connected component of the set of edges F' that we had chosen till this point.

 $F' \cup P'$  contains a cycle. Hence, also the final set of edges contains a cycle.



When we increased  $y_S$ , S was a connected component of the set of edges F' that we had chosen till this point.

 $F' \cup P'$  contains a cycle. Hence, also the final set of edges contains a cycle.


#### **Steiner Forest Problem:**

Given a graph G = (V, E), together with source-target pairs  $s_i, t_i$ , i = 1, ..., k, and a cost function  $c : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$  on the edges. Find a subset  $F \subseteq E$  of the edges such that for every  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  there is a path between  $s_i$  and  $t_i$  only using edges in F.



Here  $S_i$  contains all sets S such that  $s_i \in S$  and  $t_i \notin S$ .



#### **Steiner Forest Problem:**

Given a graph G = (V, E), together with source-target pairs  $s_i, t_i$ , i = 1, ..., k, and a cost function  $c : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$  on the edges. Find a subset  $F \subseteq E$  of the edges such that for every  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  there is a path between  $s_i$  and  $t_i$  only using edges in F.



Here  $S_i$  contains all sets S such that  $s_i \in S$  and  $t_i \notin S$ .



18. Jun. 2023 69/80

#### **Steiner Forest Problem:**

Given a graph G = (V, E), together with source-target pairs  $s_i, t_i$ , i = 1, ..., k, and a cost function  $c : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$  on the edges. Find a subset  $F \subseteq E$  of the edges such that for every  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  there is a path between  $s_i$  and  $t_i$  only using edges in F.



Here  $S_i$  contains all sets S such that  $s_i \in S$  and  $t_i \notin S$ .



$$\begin{array}{cccc} \max & \sum_{S: \exists i \text{ s.t. } S \in S_i} \mathcal{Y}S \\ \text{s.t.} & \forall e \in E & \sum_{S:e \in \delta(S)} \mathcal{Y}S & \leq & c(e) \\ & & \mathcal{Y}S & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

The difference to the dual of the shortest path problem is that we have many more variables (sets for which we can generate a moat of non-zero width).



#### Algorithm 1 FirstTry 1: $y \leftarrow 0$ 2: $F \leftarrow \emptyset$ 3: while not all $s_i$ - $t_i$ pairs connected in F do Let C be some connected component of (V, F) such 4: that $|C \cap \{s_i, t_i\}| = 1$ for some *i*. 5: Increase $y_C$ until there is an edge $e' \in \delta(C)$ s.t. $\sum_{S \in S_i: e' \in \delta(S)} \mathcal{Y}_S = C_{e'}$ 6: $F \leftarrow F \cup \{e'\}$ 7: **return** $\bigcup_i P_i$







16.4 Steiner Forest

$$\sum_{e \in F} c(e) = \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S$$



$$\sum_{e \in F} c(e) = \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |\delta(S) \cap F| \cdot \gamma_S .$$



$$\sum_{e \in F} c(e) = \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |\delta(S) \cap F| \cdot \gamma_S .$$



$$\sum_{e \in F} c(e) = \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |\delta(S) \cap F| \cdot \gamma_S .$$

However, this is not true:

• Take a complete graph on k + 1 vertices  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ .



$$\sum_{e \in F} c(e) = \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |\delta(S) \cap F| \cdot \gamma_S .$$

- Take a complete graph on k + 1 vertices  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ .
- The *i*-th pair is  $v_0$ - $v_i$ .



$$\sum_{e \in F} c(e) = \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |\delta(S) \cap F| \cdot \gamma_S .$$

- Take a complete graph on k + 1 vertices  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ .
- The *i*-th pair is  $v_0$ - $v_i$ .
- The first component *C* could be  $\{v_0\}$ .



$$\sum_{e \in F} c(e) = \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |\delta(S) \cap F| \cdot \gamma_S .$$

- Take a complete graph on k + 1 vertices  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ .
- The *i*-th pair is  $v_0$ - $v_i$ .
- The first component *C* could be  $\{v_0\}$ .
- We only set  $y_{\{v_0\}} = 1$ . All other dual variables stay 0.



$$\sum_{e \in F} c(e) = \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |\delta(S) \cap F| \cdot \gamma_S .$$

- Take a complete graph on k + 1 vertices  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ .
- The *i*-th pair is  $v_0$ - $v_i$ .
- The first component *C* could be  $\{v_0\}$ .
- We only set  $y_{\{v_0\}} = 1$ . All other dual variables stay 0.
- The final set *F* contains all edges  $\{v_0, v_i\}$ , i = 1, ..., k.



$$\sum_{e \in F} c(e) = \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |\delta(S) \cap F| \cdot \gamma_S .$$

- Take a complete graph on k + 1 vertices  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ .
- The *i*-th pair is  $v_0$ - $v_i$ .
- The first component *C* could be  $\{v_0\}$ .
- We only set  $y_{\{v_0\}} = 1$ . All other dual variables stay 0.
- The final set *F* contains all edges  $\{v_0, v_i\}$ , i = 1, ..., k.
- $\gamma_{\{v_0\}} > 0$  but  $|\delta(\{v_0\}) \cap F| = k$ .



Algorithm 1 SecondTry

1: 
$$y \leftarrow 0$$
;  $F \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;  $\ell \leftarrow 0$   
2: while not all  $s_i \cdot t_i$  pairs connected in  $F$  do  
3:  $\ell \leftarrow \ell + 1$   
4: Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be set of all connected components  $C$  of  $(V_{such that} | C \cap \{s_i, t_i\}| = 1$  for some  $i$ .  
5: Increase  $y_C$  for all  $C \in \mathbb{C}$  uniformly until for some  $e_\ell \in \delta(C')$ ,  $C' \in \mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $\sum_{S:e_\ell \in \delta(S)} y_S = c_{e_\ell}$   
6:  $F \leftarrow F \cup \{e_\ell\}$   
7:  $F' \leftarrow F$ 

4: Let 
$$\mathbb{C}$$
 be set of all connected components  $C$  of  $(V, F)$   
such that  $|C \cap \{s_i, t_i\}| = 1$  for some  $i$ .

5: Increase 
$$\gamma_C$$
 for all  $C \in \mathbb{C}$  uniformly until for some edge  $e_\ell \in \delta(C'), C' \in \mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $\sum_{S:e_\ell \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = c_{e_\ell}$ 

$$6: \qquad F \leftarrow F \cup \{e_\ell\}$$

7: 
$$F' \leftarrow F$$

8: **for** 
$$k \leftarrow \ell$$
 downto 1 **do** // reverse deletion

9: **if** 
$$F' - e_k$$
 is feasible solution **then**

10: remove 
$$e_k$$
 from  $F$ 

11: **return** *F*'



The reverse deletion step is not strictly necessary this way. It would also be sufficient to simply delete all unnecessary edges in any order.







16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest







16.4 Steiner Forest









16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest





16.4 Steiner Forest

#### **Lemma 5** For any *C* in any iteration of the algorithm

 $\sum_{C \in \mathfrak{C}} |\delta(C) \cap F'| \le 2|\mathfrak{C}|$ 

This means that the number of times a moat from  $\mathbb{C}$  is crossed in the final solution is at most twice the number of moats.

Proof: later...


$\sum_{e \in F'} c_e = \sum_{e \in F'} \sum_{S:e \in \delta(S)} y_S = \sum_{S} |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot y_S.$ 

$$\sum_{S} |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot \gamma_{S} \le 2 \sum_{S} \gamma_{S}$$

In the 1-th iteration the increase of the left-hand side is

and the increase of the right hand side is 2010.



$$\sum_{e \in F'} c_e = \sum_{e \in F'} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \mathcal{Y}_S = \sum_{S} |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot \gamma_S .$$

$$\sum_{S} |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot y_S \le 2 \sum_{S} y_S$$

In the 1-th iteration the increase of the left-hand side is

and the increase of the right hand side is 2010.



$$\sum_{e \in F'} c_e = \sum_{e \in F'} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} y_S = \sum_S |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot y_S .$$

$$\sum_{S} |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot y_S \le 2 \sum_{S} y_S$$

In the 1-th iteration the increase of the left-hand side is

and the increase of the right hand side is 2010.



$$\sum_{e \in F'} c_e = \sum_{e \in F'} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot \gamma_S .$$

$$\sum_{S} |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot \gamma_{S} \le 2 \sum_{S} \gamma_{S}$$

In the oth iteration the increase of the left-hand side is

and the increase of the right hand side is  $2 \epsilon |0|$ .

Hence, by the previous lemma the inequality holds after the iteration if it holds in the beginning of the iteration.



18. Jun. 2023 77/80

$$\sum_{e \in F'} c_e = \sum_{e \in F'} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot \gamma_S .$$

$$\sum_{S} |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot \gamma_{S} \le 2 \sum_{S} \gamma_{S}$$

In the *i*-th iteration the increase of the left-hand side is

 $\epsilon \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{C}} |F' \cap \delta(C)|$ 

### and the increase of the right hand side is $2\epsilon |C|$ .

Hence, by the previous lemma the inequality holds after the iteration if it holds in the beginning of the iteration.



18. Jun. 2023 77/80

$$\sum_{e \in F'} c_e = \sum_{e \in F'} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} \gamma_S = \sum_S |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot \gamma_S .$$

$$\sum_{S} |F' \cap \delta(S)| \cdot \gamma_{S} \le 2 \sum_{S} \gamma_{S}$$

In the *i*-th iteration the increase of the left-hand side is

$$\epsilon \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{C}} |F' \cap \delta(C)|$$

and the increase of the right hand side is  $2\epsilon |C|$ .



For any set of connected components  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  in any iteration of the algorithm



- At any point during the algorithm the set of edges forms as forest (why?).
- Fix iteration is Let  $i_0$  be the set of edges in it at the beginning of the iteration.
- $\geq$  Let  $H = P' P_0$ .
- All edges in () are necessary for the solution.



For any set of connected components  $\mathbb C$  in any iteration of the algorithm



- At any point during the algorithm the set of edges forms a forest (why?).
- Fix iteration *i*. Let F<sub>i</sub> be the set of edges in F at the beginning of the iteration.
- Let  $H = F' F_i$ .
- All edges in H are necessary for the solution.



For any set of connected components  $\mathbb C$  in any iteration of the algorithm



- At any point during the algorithm the set of edges forms a forest (why?).
- Fix iteration *i*. Let F<sub>i</sub> be the set of edges in F at the beginning of the iteration.
- Let  $H = F' F_i$ .
- All edges in H are necessary for the solution.



For any set of connected components  $\mathbb C$  in any iteration of the algorithm



- At any point during the algorithm the set of edges forms a forest (why?).
- Fix iteration *i*. Let F<sub>i</sub> be the set of edges in F at the beginning of the iteration.
- Let  $H = F' F_i$ .
- All edges in H are necessary for the solution.



For any set of connected components  $\mathbb C$  in any iteration of the algorithm



- At any point during the algorithm the set of edges forms a forest (why?).
- Fix iteration *i*. Let F<sub>i</sub> be the set of edges in F at the beginning of the iteration.
- Let  $H = F' F_i$ .
- ► All edges in *H* are necessary for the solution.



#### Contract all edges in F<sub>i</sub> into single vertices V'.

- ▶ We can consider the forest *H* on the set of vertices *V*′.
- Let deg(v) be the degree of a vertex  $v \in V'$  within this forest.
- Color a vertex  $v \in V'$  red if it corresponds to a component from  $\mathbb{C}$  (an active component). Otw. color it blue. (Let *B* the set of blue vertices (with non-zero degree) and *R* the set of red vertices)
- We have

$$\sum_{v \in R} \deg(v) \ge \sum_{C \in \mathbb{C}} |\delta(C) \cap F'| \stackrel{?}{\le} 2|\mathbb{C}| = 2|R|$$



- Contract all edges in F<sub>i</sub> into single vertices V'.
- We can consider the forest H on the set of vertices V'.
- Let deg(v) be the degree of a vertex  $v \in V'$  within this forest.
- ▶ Color a vertex  $v \in V'$  red if it corresponds to a component from C (an active component). Otw. color it blue. (Let *B* the set of blue vertices (with non-zero degree) and *R* the set of red vertices)
- We have

$$\sum_{v \in R} \deg(v) \ge \sum_{C \in C} |\delta(C) \cap F'| \stackrel{?}{\le} 2|\mathfrak{C}| = 2|R|$$



- Contract all edges in F<sub>i</sub> into single vertices V'.
- We can consider the forest H on the set of vertices V'.
- Let deg(v) be the degree of a vertex  $v \in V'$  within this forest.
- ▶ Color a vertex  $v \in V'$  red if it corresponds to a component from C (an active component). Otw. color it blue. (Let *B* the set of blue vertices (with non-zero degree) and *R* the set of red vertices)
- We have

$$\sum_{v \in R} \deg(v) \ge \sum_{C \in \mathbb{C}} |\delta(C) \cap F'| \stackrel{?}{\le} 2|\mathbb{C}| = 2|R|$$



- Contract all edges in F<sub>i</sub> into single vertices V'.
- We can consider the forest H on the set of vertices V'.
- Let deg(v) be the degree of a vertex  $v \in V'$  within this forest.
- ► Color a vertex  $v \in V'$  red if it corresponds to a component from  $\mathbb{C}$  (an active component). Otw. color it blue. (Let *B* the set of blue vertices (with non-zero degree) and *R* the set of red vertices)
- We have





- Contract all edges in  $F_i$  into single vertices V'.
- We can consider the forest H on the set of vertices V'.
- Let deg(v) be the degree of a vertex  $v \in V'$  within this forest.
- ► Color a vertex  $v \in V'$  red if it corresponds to a component from  $\mathbb{C}$  (an active component). Otw. color it blue. (Let *B* the set of blue vertices (with non-zero degree) and *R* the set of red vertices)
- We have

$$\sum_{\nu \in R} \deg(\nu) \ge \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{C}} |\delta(C) \cap F'| \stackrel{?}{\le} 2|\mathfrak{C}| = 2|R|$$







## Then

 $\sum_{\nu \in R} \deg(\nu)$ 



$$\sum_{v \in R} \deg(v) = \sum_{v \in R \cup B} \deg(v) - \sum_{v \in B} \deg(v)$$



$$\sum_{\nu \in R} \deg(\nu) = \sum_{\nu \in R \cup B} \deg(\nu) - \sum_{\nu \in B} \deg(\nu)$$
$$\leq 2(|R| + |B|) - 2|B|$$



$$\sum_{\nu \in R} \deg(\nu) = \sum_{\nu \in R \cup B} \deg(\nu) - \sum_{\nu \in B} \deg(\nu)$$
$$\leq 2(|R| + |B|) - 2|B| = 2|R|$$



Then

$$\sum_{\nu \in R} \deg(\nu) = \sum_{\nu \in R \cup B} \deg(\nu) - \sum_{\nu \in B} \deg(\nu)$$
$$\leq 2(|R| + |B|) - 2|B| = 2|R|$$

Every blue vertex with non-zero degree must have degree at least two.



$$\sum_{\nu \in R} \deg(\nu) = \sum_{\nu \in R \cup B} \deg(\nu) - \sum_{\nu \in B} \deg(\nu)$$
$$\leq 2(|R| + |B|) - 2|B| = 2|R|$$

- Every blue vertex with non-zero degree must have degree at least two.
  - Suppose not. The single edge connecting  $b \in B$  comes from H, and, hence, is necessary.



$$\sum_{\nu \in R} \deg(\nu) = \sum_{\nu \in R \cup B} \deg(\nu) - \sum_{\nu \in B} \deg(\nu)$$
$$\leq 2(|R| + |B|) - 2|B| = 2|R|$$

- Every blue vertex with non-zero degree must have degree at least two.
  - Suppose not. The single edge connecting  $b \in B$  comes from H, and, hence, is necessary.
  - But this means that the cluster corresponding to b must separate a source-target pair.



$$\sum_{\nu \in R} \deg(\nu) = \sum_{\nu \in R \cup B} \deg(\nu) - \sum_{\nu \in B} \deg(\nu)$$
$$\leq 2(|R| + |B|) - 2|B| = 2|R|$$

- Every blue vertex with non-zero degree must have degree at least two.
  - Suppose not. The single edge connecting  $b \in B$  comes from H, and, hence, is necessary.
  - But this means that the cluster corresponding to b must separate a source-target pair.
  - But then it must be a red node.

