Complementary Slackness

Lemma 3

Assume a linear program $P = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \leq b; x \geq 0\}$ *has solution* x^* *and its dual* $D = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \geq c; y \geq 0\}$ *has solution* y^**.*

1. *If* $x_j^* > 0$ *then the* j*-th constraint in* D *is tight.*
2. *If the* j*-th constraint in* D *is not tight than* $x_j^* = 0$*.*
3. *If* $y_i^* > 0$ *then the* i*-th constraint in* P *is tight.*
4. *If the* i*-th constraint in* P *is not tight than* $y_i^* = 0$*.*

Complementary Slackness

Lemma 3

Assume a linear program $P = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \le b; x \ge 0\}$ *has solution* x^* *and its dual* $D = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \ge c; y \ge 0\}$ *has solution* y^*.

1. *If* $x_j^* > 0$ *then the* j*-th constraint in* D *is tight.*
2. *If the* j*-th constraint in* D *is not tight than* $x_j^* = 0$.
3. *If* $y_i^* > 0$ *then the* i*-th constraint in* P *is tight.*
4. *If the* i*-th constraint in* P *is not tight than* $y_i^* = 0$.

If we say that a variable x_j^* (y_i^*) has slack if $x_j^* > 0$ ($y_i^* > 0$), (i.e., the corresponding variable restriction is not tight) and a contraint has slack if it is not tight, then the above says that for a primal-dual solution pair it is not possible that a constraint **and** its corresponding (dual) variable has slack.

Proof: Complementary Slackness

Analogous to the proof of weak duality we obtain

$$c^Tx^* \le y^{*T}Ax^* \le b^Ty^*$$

Proof: Complementary Slackness

Analogous to the proof of weak duality we obtain

$$c^T x^* \leq y^{*T} A x^* \leq b^T y^*$$

Because of strong duality we then get

$$c^T x^* = y^{*T} A x^* = b^T y^*$$

This gives e.g.

$$\sum_j (y^T A - c^T)_j x_j^* = 0$$

Proof: Complementary Slackness

Analogous to the proof of weak duality we obtain

$$c^T x^* \leq y^{*T} A x^* \leq b^T y^*$$

Because of strong duality we then get

$$c^T x^* = y^{*T} A x^* = b^T y^*$$

This gives e.g.

$$\sum_j (y^T A - c^T)_j x_j^* = 0$$

From the constraint of the dual it follows that $y^T A \geq c^T$. Hence the left hand side is a sum over the product of non-negative numbers. Hence, if e.g. $(y^T A - c^T)_j > 0$ (the j-th constraint in the dual is not tight) then $x_j = 0$ (2.). The result for (1./3./4.) follows similarly.

Interpretation of Dual Variables

- Brewer: find mix of ale and beer that maximizes profits

$$
\begin{array}{rrcrl}
\text{max} & 13a & + & 23b & \\
\text{s.t.} & 5a & + & 15b & \le 480 \\
 & 4a & + & 4b & \le 160 \\
 & 35a & + & 20b & \le 1190 \\
 & & & a, b & \ge 0
\end{array}
$$

- Entrepeneur: buy resources from brewer at minimum cost
  C, H, M: unit price for corn, hops and malt.

$$
\begin{array}{rrcrcrl}
\text{min} & 480C & + & 160H & + & 1190M & \\
\text{s.t.} & 5C & + & 4H & + & 35M & \ge 13 \\
 & 15C & + & 4H & + & 20M & \ge 23 \\
 & & & & & C, H, M & \ge 0
\end{array}
$$

Note that brewer won’t sell (at least not all) if e.g.
$5C + 4H + 35M < 13$ as then brewing ale would be advantageous.

Interpretation of Dual Variables

- Brewer: find mix of ale and beer that maximizes profits

$$\begin{array}{rrcrl} \text{max} & 13a & + & 23b & \\ \text{s.t.} & 5a & + & 15b & \le 480 \\ & 4a & + & 4b & \le 160 \\ & 35a & + & 20b & \le 1190 \\ & & & a,b & \ge 0 \end{array}$$

- Entrepeneur: buy resources from brewer at minimum cost
  C, H, M: unit price for corn, hops and malt.

$$\begin{array}{rrcrcrl} \text{min} & 480C & + & 160H & + & 1190M & \\ \text{s.t.} & 5C & + & 4H & + & 35M & \ge 13 \\ & 15C & + & 4H & + & 20M & \ge 23 \\ & & & & & C,H,M & \ge 0 \end{array}$$

Note that brewer won't sell (at least not all) if e.g.
$5C + 4H + 35M < 13$ as then brewing ale would be advantageous.

Interpretation of Dual Variables

- Brewer: find mix of ale and beer that maximizes profits

$$
\begin{array}{rrcrl}
\text{max} & 13a & + & 23b & \\
\text{s.t.} & 5a & + & 15b & \leq 480 \\
 & 4a & + & 4b & \leq 160 \\
 & 35a & + & 20b & \leq 1190 \\
 & & & a, b & \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

- Entrepeneur: buy resources from brewer at minimum cost
  C, H, M: unit price for corn, hops and malt.

$$
\begin{array}{rrcrcrl}
\text{min} & 480C & + & 160H & + & 1190M & \\
\text{s.t.} & 5C & + & 4H & + & 35M & \geq 13 \\
 & 15C & + & 4H & + & 20M & \geq 23 \\
 & & & & & C, H, M & \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

Note that brewer won't sell (at least not all) if e.g.
$5C + 4H + 35M < 13$ as then brewing ale would be advantageous.

Interpretation of Dual Variables

Marginal Price:

- How much money is the brewer willing to pay for additional amount of Corn, Hops, or Malt?
- We are interested in the marginal price, i.e., what happens if we increase the amount of Corn, Hops, and Malt by ε_C, ε_H, and ε_M, respectively.

The profit increases to $\max\{c^T x \mid Ax \le b + \varepsilon; x \ge 0\}$. Because of strong duality this is equal to

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \min & (b^T + \epsilon^T)y & & \\ \text{s.t.} & A^T y & \ge & c \\ & y & \ge & 0 \end{array}$$

Interpretation of Dual Variables

Marginal Price:

- How much money is the brewer willing to pay for additional amount of Corn, Hops, or Malt?
- We are interested in the marginal price, i.e., what happens if we increase the amount of Corn, Hops, and Malt by ε_C, ε_H, and ε_M, respectively.

The profit increases to $\max\{c^T x \mid Ax \le b + \varepsilon; x \ge 0\}$. Because of strong duality this is equal to

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \min & (b^T + \epsilon^T)y & & \\ \text{s.t.} & A^T y & \ge & c \\ & y & \ge & 0 \end{array}$$

Interpretation of Dual Variables

Marginal Price:

- How much money is the brewer willing to pay for additional amount of Corn, Hops, or Malt?
- We are interested in the marginal price, i.e., what happens if we increase the amount of Corn, Hops, and Malt by $\varepsilon_C, \varepsilon_H$, and ε_M, respectively.

The profit increases to $\max\{c^Tx \mid Ax \le b + \varepsilon; x \ge 0\}$. Because of strong duality this is equal to

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \min & (b^T + \epsilon^T)y & & \\ \text{s.t.} & A^Ty & \ge & c \\ & y & \ge & 0 \end{array}$$

Interpretation of Dual Variables

Marginal Price:

- How much money is the brewer willing to pay for additional amount of Corn, Hops, or Malt?
- We are interested in the marginal price, i.e., what happens if we increase the amount of Corn, Hops, and Malt by $\varepsilon_C, \varepsilon_H$, and ε_M, respectively.

The profit increases to $\max\{c^T x \mid Ax \le b + \varepsilon; x \ge 0\}$. Because of strong duality this is equal to

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{lrcl} \min & (b^T + \epsilon^T)y & & \\ \text{s.t.} & A^T y & \ge & c \\ & y & \ge & 0 \end{array}}$$

Interpretation of Dual Variables

If ϵ is "small" enough then the optimum dual solution y^* might not change. Therefore the profit increases by $\sum_i \varepsilon_i y_i^*$.

Therefore we can interpret the dual variables as marginal prices.

Note that with this interpretation, complementary slackness becomes obvious.

- If the brewer has slack of some resource (e.g. corn) then he is not willing to pay anything for it (corresponding dual variable is zero).
- If the dual variable for some resource is non-zero, then an increase of this resource increases the profit of the brewer. Hence, it makes no sense to have left-overs of this resource. Therefore its slack must be zero.

Interpretation of Dual Variables

If ϵ is "small" enough then the optimum dual solution y^* might not change. Therefore the profit increases by $\sum_i \varepsilon_i y_i^*$.

Therefore we can interpret the dual variables as marginal prices.

Note that with this interpretation, complementary slackness becomes obvious.

- If the brewer has slack of some resource (e.g. corn) then he is not willing to pay anything for it (corresponding dual variable is zero).
- If the dual variable for some resource is non-zero, then an increase of this resource increases the profit of the brewer. Hence, it makes no sense to have left-overs of this resource. Therefore its slack must be zero.

Interpretation of Dual Variables

If ϵ is "small" enough then the optimum dual solution y^* might not change. Therefore the profit increases by $\sum_i \varepsilon_i y_i^*$.

Therefore we can interpret the dual variables as marginal prices.

Note that with this interpretation, complementary slackness becomes obvious.

Interpretation of Dual Variables

If ϵ is "small" enough then the optimum dual solution y^* might not change. Therefore the profit increases by $\sum_i \varepsilon_i y_i^*$.

Therefore we can interpret the dual variables as marginal prices.

Note that with this interpretation, complementary slackness becomes obvious.

- If the brewer has slack of some resource (e.g. corn) then he is not willing to pay anything for it (corresponding dual variable is zero).
- If the dual variable for some resource is non-zero, then an increase of this resource increases the profit of the brewer. Hence, it makes no sense to have left-overs of this resource. Therefore its slack must be zero.

Interpretation of Dual Variables

If ϵ is "small" enough then the optimum dual solution y^* might not change. Therefore the profit increases by $\sum_i \varepsilon_i y_i^*$.

Therefore we can interpret the dual variables as marginal prices.

Note that with this interpretation, complementary slackness becomes obvious.

- If the brewer has slack of some resource (e.g. corn) then he is not willing to pay anything for it (corresponding dual variable is zero).
- If the dual variable for some resource is non-zero, then an increase of this resource increases the profit of the brewer. Hence, it makes no sense to have left-overs of this resource. Therefore its slack must be zero.

Example



$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\max & 13a + 23b \\
\text{s.t.} & 5a + 15b + s_c = 480 \\
 & 4a + 4b + s_h = 160 \\
 & 35a + 20b + s_m = 1190 \\
 & a\,,\ b\,,\ s_c\,,\ s_h\,,\ s_m \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

Example



$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\max & 13a + 23b & & & & & & \\
\text{s.t.} & 5a + 15b & + s_c & & & = & 480 \\
 & 4a + 4b & & + s_h & & = & 160 \\
 & 35a + 20b & & & + s_m & = & 1190 \\
 & a\ ,\ b & ,\ s_c & ,\ s_h & ,\ s_m & \geq & 0
\end{array}
$$

Example



$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text{max} & 13a + 23b & & & & & \\
\text{s.t.} & 5a + 15b & + s_c & & & = & 480 \\
 & 4a + 4b & & + s_h & & = & 160 \\
 & 35a + 20b & & & + s_m & = & 1190 \\
 & a \;,\; b & ,\; s_c & ,\; s_h & ,\; s_m & \geq & 0
\end{array}
$$

Example



$$
\begin{array}{lrcl}
\text{max} & 13a + 23b & & \\
\text{s.t.} & 5a + 15b + s_c & = & 480 \\
 & 4a + 4b + s_h & = & 160 \\
 & 35a + 20b + s_m & = & 1190 \\
 & a, b, s_c, s_h, s_m & \geq & 0
\end{array}
$$

Example



$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\text{max} & 13a + 23b & & & & & & \\
\text{s.t.} & 5a + 15b & + s_c & & & = & 480 \\
 & 4a + 4b & & + s_h & & = & 160 \\
 & 35a + 20b & & & + s_m & = & 1190 \\
 & a\ ,\ b & ,\ s_c & ,\ s_h & ,\ s_m & \geq & 0
\end{array}
$$

Example



$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
\text{max} & 13a + 23b & \\
\text{s.t.} & 5a + 15b + s_c & = 480 \\
 & 4a + 4b + s_h & = 160 \\
 & 35a + 20b + s_m & = 1190 \\
 & a\ ,\ b\ ,\ s_c\ ,\ s_h\ ,\ s_m & \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

The change in profit when increasing hops by one unit is

$= c_B^T A_B^{-1} e_h$.

Example



$$
\begin{array}{lrcrcrcrcrcl}
\max & 13a & + & 23b & & & & & & & & \\
\text{s.t.} & 5a & + & 15b & + & s_c & & & & & = & 480 \\
 & 4a & + & 4b & & & + & s_h & & & = & 160 \\
 & 35a & + & 20b & & & & & + & s_m & = & 1190 \\
 & a & , & b & , & s_c & , & s_h & , & s_m & \geq & 0
\end{array}
$$

The change in profit when increasing hops by one unit is

$= \underbrace{c_B^T A_B^{-1}}_{y^*} e_h$.

Of course, the previous argument about the increase in the primal objective only holds for the non-degenerate case.

If the optimum basis is degenerate then increasing the supply of one resource may not allow the objective value to increase.